ORIGINAL

RESOLUTION NO. 265

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF SHORELINE, WASHINGTON FINDING FUTURE SUBSTANTIAL NEED AND RESERVING ANY UNUSED PROPERTY TAX LEVY CAPACITY FOR POTENTIAL FUTURE USE

WHEREAS, on November 19, 2007 the City Council conducted a public hearing on revenue sources available to the City including consideration of possible increases in property tax revenues; and

WHEREAS, the City has adopted Ordinance No. 485, increasing the 2008 property tax by 1% and approximately \$71,379 from the regular property tax levied in 2007 and Ordinance No. 489 adopting the 2008 property tax levy; and

WHEREAS, upon the effective date of the Washington Supreme Court ruling invalidating Initiative I-747 ("Decision"), regular property tax levy assessments will be controlled by restored state statutes in effect prior to I-747 including a levy capacity limited by the implicit price deflator; and

WHEREAS, the City Council declares its intent to retain, for future needs, unused levy capacity from 2002 through 2008 when the levy was set at a 1% increase over the previous year's levy rather than the implicit price deflator; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Shoreline has considered the City's anticipated financial requirements for future years and the amounts necessary and available to be raised by all sources of revenue including ad valorem taxes on real, personal, and utility property; now therefore

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SHORELINE, WASHINGTON, DO RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

- Section 1. Reserve Unused Property Tax Capacity. The City reserves any unused portion of the legal levy limit to be used to meet potential future financial requirements, specifically the capacity between the adopted 1% increase that was levied and the implicit price deflator for each of the years from 2002 to 2008.
- **Section 2. Notice to King County**. This ordinance shall be certified to the proper County officials, as provided by law.
- **Section 3. Severability.** Should any section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance, or its application to any person or circumstance, be declared unconstitutional or otherwise invalid for any reason, or should any portion of this Ordinance be preempted by State or Federal law or regulation, such decision or preemption shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance or its application to other persons or circumstances.

ORIGINAL

PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL ON NOVEMBER 26, 2007.

layor Robert L. Ransom

ATTEST:

Scott Passey City Clerk