QC Review by Michael Hoffmann 07/28/20



NEPA Categorical Exclusion Documentation Form

Federal Aid Project Number	NEPA Star	t Date	Intent of	Submittal	
0523(010)	Nov 11,	2018	Pre	eliminary ✓ Final ☐ Re-Evaluate	
Agency	Project Titl	e			
Shoreline	oreline 145th Street Corridor Project				
County					
King					
Beginning Terminus: Linden Ave N	Beginning Terminus: Linden Ave N Township(s): 26N				
Ending Terminus: I-5	nding Terminus: I-5 Range(s): 4E				
Niles: 1.0 Section(s): 17, 18, 19, 20					
Part 1	- Projec	ct Description	n (Attach Vicin	ity Map)	
include upgrades to roadway infrastructure including adding left turn lanes, wider sidewalks, landscaping, and traffic signal improvements. The Project improves pedestrian and bicycle mobility, safety and operations, transit speed and reliability, and freight mobility. The Project also includes improvements to enable an off-corridor bike network through parallel neighborhood streets. A detailed description of the project and project vicinity map is provided as Attachment B. Anticipated traffic benefits of the Project:					
* In the Build Alternative, Project improvements at N 145th Street/Meridian Ave N and N 145th St/1st Ave NE result in better operations and lower average vehicle delay in both a.m. and p.m. peak hours, compared to No Build. *The Build Alternative significantly improves operations compared to No Build at N 145th St/Meridian Ave A Land Use Assessment Memo (provided as Attachment C) provides background information on the 145th Street Station Subarea Plan developed by the City of Shoreline and the proposed changes in land use within a portion of the Project area as a result of the adoption of the plan and updates to the City of Shoreline Comprehensive Plan and development code. The Land Use memo describes the anticipated Project's property impacts and describes the Project's compliance with local planning.					
	Part 2 - 0	Categorical	Exclusion & ST	'IP	
 Identify one CE from 23 CFR 771.117 (CE Guidebook - Appendix A) that fits the entire project: 23 Per 23 CFR Part 452(I) identify the subsequent project phase identified on the STIP? ✓ ROW Construction Attach a copy of the STIP page to the CE documentation form. (included as Attachment A) 					
A A					
hicia Inhike	8/4	PA Approva	Signatures		
Local Agency Approving Authority	Date	,	Local Programs Er	nvironmental Engineer Date	
Regional Local Programs Engineer	Date		Federal Highway A	Administration Date	
Completed by (Print Official's Name):		Telephone (in	clude area code):	Email address:	

QC Review by Michael Hoffmann

Part 3 - Permits, Approvals & Right of Way (ROW) 07/28/20					
Yes No Permit of Approval Corps of Engineers Sec. 10 Sec. 404 Nationwide Type Individual Permit No. Coastal Zone Management Certification ✓ Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) Permit	Yes		of Way (ROW) Permit of Approval Water Rights Permit Water Quality Certification - Section 401 Issued by Tribal Permits(s) (if any) Other Permits (List) City of Shoreline and Seattle Is permanent ROW acquisition needed? If yes,		
 ✓ Forest Practices Act Permit ✓ Hydraulic Project Approval ✓ Local Building or Site Development Permits ✓ Local Clearing and Grading Permit ✓ National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Baseline General for Construction ✓ Shoreline Permit ✓ State Waste Discharge Permit ✓ TESC Plans Completed 	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓		ls any temporary ROW needed? Is relocation required? Has ROW (property and/or property interests) been acquired for this project prior to the NEPA start date? If yes, documentation demonstrating compliance with 23 CFR 710.501 may be required. Is a detour required? If yes, please attach detour information.		
U.S. Coast Guard Permitting • Does the project propose any new or modify any existing bridges or culverts crossing a waterway? ☐ Yes ✓ No • If yes, attach a copy of the jurisdictional determination email from the U.S. Coast Guard. Other Federal Agencies - Does the project involve any federal properties, approvals or funding from other/additional federal agencies? ☐ Yes ✓ No If yes, please describe.					
Part 4 - Environmen	ntal Co	onsid	derations		
Will the project involve work in or affect any of the following? Identify proposed mitigation. Attach additional pages or supplemental information if necessary.					
Air Quality - Identify any anticipated air quality issues. Is the project exempt from Air Quality conformity requirements?					
b.Is the project included in the Metropolitan Transportat	tion Pla	an?.	✓ Yes 🔲 No		
If Yes, date Metropolitan Transportation Plan was ado	pted F	SRO	C RTP adopted on May 31, 2018		
c. Is the project located in an Air Quality Non-Attainmen ozone or PM 10 or PM 2.5?	t Area	or M	aintenance Area for carbon monoxide,		

Part 4 - Environmental Considerations	07/28/	20
Critical and Sensitive Areas		
a.Is this project within a sole source aquifer?	Yes	√ No
If located within a sole source aquifer, is the project exempt from EPA approval?		
If Yes, please list exemption		
If no, date of EPA approval		
	Yes	✓ No
Project is located within urbanized area. Project acquires residential properties that does n habitat or species.	ot cont	ain
d.Are wetlands present within the project area?	Yes	√ No
If yes, estimate the impact in acres		
Please attach a copy of the proposed mitigation plan.		
Does the project fit into any of the exempt types of projects listed in Appendix J of the CE Guidebo		
(If Yes, note exemption below)	Yes	✓ No
If No: Date of DAHP concurrence: 02/25/20. The DAHP concurrence letter is provided in Attachment E. Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable): Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? If Yes, date of approved Section106 MOA		✓ No ✓ No
If No: Date of DAHP concurrence: 02/25/20. The DAHP concurrence letter is provided in Attachment E. Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable): Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources?		
If No: Date of DAHP concurrence: 02/25/20. The DAHP concurrence letter is provided in Attachment E. Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable): Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? If Yes, date of approved Section106 MOA	Yes	
If No: Date of DAHP concurrence: 02/25/20. The DAHP concurrence letter is provided in Attachment E. Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable): Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? If Yes, date of approved Section106 MOA Floodplains and Floodways	Yes	√ No
If No: Date of DAHP concurrence: 02/25/20. The DAHP concurrence letter is provided in Attachment E. Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable): Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? If Yes, date of approved Section106 MOA Floodplains and Floodways a.ls the project located in a 100-year floodplain?	Yes Yes Yes	✓ No
If No: Date of DAHP concurrence: 02/25/20. The DAHP concurrence letter is provided in Attachment E. Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable): Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? If Yes, date of approved Section106 MOA Floodplains and Floodways a.ls the project located in a 100-year floodplain? b.lf Yes, is the project located within a 100-year floodway?	Yes Yes Yes	✓ No ✓ No × No
If No: Date of DAHP concurrence: 02/25/20. The DAHP concurrence letter is provided in Attachment E. Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable): Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? If Yes, date of approved Section106 MOA Floodplains and Floodways a.ls the project located in a 100-year floodplain? b.lf Yes, is the project located within a 100-year floodway?	Yes Yes Yes	✓ No ✓ No × No
If No: Date of DAHP concurrence: 02/25/20. The DAHP concurrence letter is provided in Attachment E. Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable): Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? If Yes, date of approved Section106 MOA Floodplains and Floodways a.ls the project located in a 100-year floodplain? b.lf Yes, is the project located within a 100-year floodway?	Yes Yes Yes	✓ No ✓ No × No
If No: Date of DAHP concurrence: 02/25/20. The DAHP concurrence letter is provided in Attachment E. Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable): Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? If Yes, date of approved Section106 MOA Floodplains and Floodways a.ls the project located in a 100-year floodplain? b.lf Yes, is the project located within a 100-year floodway?	Yes Yes Yes	✓ No ✓ No × No
If No: Date of DAHP concurrence: 02/25/20. The DAHP concurrence letter is provided in Attachment E. Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable): Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? If Yes, date of approved Section106 MOA Floodplains and Floodways a.ls the project located in a 100-year floodplain? b.lf Yes, is the project located within a 100-year floodway?	Yes Yes Yes	✓ No ✓ No × No
If No: Date of DAHP concurrence: 02/25/20. The DAHP concurrence letter is provided in Attachment E. Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable): Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? If Yes, date of approved Section106 MOA Floodplains and Floodways a.ls the project located in a 100-year floodplain? b.lf Yes, is the project located within a 100-year floodway?	Yes Yes Yes	✓ No ✓ No × No
If No: Date of DAHP concurrence: 02/25/20. The DAHP concurrence letter is provided in Attachment E. Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable): Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? If Yes, date of approved Section106 MOA Floodplains and Floodways a.ls the project located in a 100-year floodplain? b.lf Yes, is the project located within a 100-year floodway?	Yes Yes Yes	✓ No ✓ No × No
If No: Date of DAHP concurrence: 02/25/20. The DAHP concurrence letter is provided in Attachment E. Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable): Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? If Yes, date of approved Section106 MOA Floodplains and Floodways a.ls the project located in a 100-year floodplain? b.lf Yes, is the project located within a 100-year floodway?	Yes Yes Yes	✓ No ✓ No × No
	a. Is this project within a sole source aquifer?	Critical and Sensitive Areas a. Is this project within a sole source aquifer?

		Part 4 - Environmental Considerations
5.	Haz	zardous and Problem Waste - Identify potential sources and types(s).
	a.	Does the project require excavation below the existing ground surface? ✓ Yes No
	b.	Will groundwater be encountered?
	c.	Will any properties be acquired as part of the is project? ✓ Yes No
	d.	Is this site located in an undeveloped area (i.e. no buildings, parking, storage
		areas or agriculture)?
	e.	Is the project located within a one-mile radius of a known Superfund Site?
	f.	Is this project located within a ½-mile radius of a site or sites listed on any of the following Department of Ecology databases? (If Yes, check the appropriate boxes below.) ✓ Yes No
	✓ Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP), State Cleanup Site (SCS), or Independent Cleanup Program (ICP)	
	✓ Underground Storage Tank (UST)	
	✓ Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST)	
		✓ Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites List (CSCSL)
	g.	Has site reconnaissance (windshield survey) been performed? ✓ Yes No (Please identify any properties not identified in the Ecology or ERS database search as an attachment name,address and property use).
		None. The majority of the properties in the Project area consist of single-family residential uses.
		Based on the information above and project specific activities, is there a potential for the project to generate, acquire or encounter contaminated soils, groundwater or surface water? ☐ Yes ✓ No lase explain:
		here is no surface water in the project area and depth to regional groundwater is about 200 feet. There is
	the res en int	e potential to encounter perched groundwater. The Project is primarily located in areas associated with sidential development and no indication of contaminated soils in this area. There is the potential to counter contaminated soils in the northwest corner of the 145th Street and Aurora Ave North tersection. The property in the northwest corner had leaking underground storage tanks that have been moved and the soils over excavated, but there is potential to encounter contaminated soils if perched bundwater is encountered. See Attachment F (Hazardous Materials Memorandum).
		esponded Yes to any of these questions above (5A – 5F or 5H), contact your Region LPE for assistance as a zed HazMat Analysis Report/Memorandum most likely will be required.
6.	No	
	a.l	Does the project involve constructing a new roadway? Yes ✓ No
	b.l	s there a change in the vertical or horizontal alignment of the existing roadway? ✓ Yes □ No
		Does the project increase the number of through traffic lanes on an existing roadway? □ Yes ✓ No
		ls there a change in the topography?
		Are there auxiliary lanes extending 1½ miles or longer being constructed as part of this project? . ✓ Yes ☐ No
	f.	If you answered Yes to any of the preceding questions, identify and describe any potential noise receptors within the project area and subsequent impacts to those noise receptors. Please attach a copy of the noise analysis if required.
	Th	ne Project widens the roadway to the north and would shift vehicles closer to residential properties.
	lf ir	mpacts are identified, describe proposed mitigation measures.
		creases in noise levels associated with the Project are below WSDOT's definition of a substantial
		crease; no noise barriers are recommended. See Traffic Noise Analysis Memo in Attachment G.

Michael Hoffmann 07/28/20

		Part 4 - Environmental Considerations	0112012	2.0
7.)/6(f) Resources: parks, recreation areas, wildlife refuges, historic properties, wild & scenic ways	rivers, :	scenic
	a.	Please identify any 4(f) properties within the project limits and the areas of impacts.		
		One Section 4(f) resource, the Interurban Trail is located in the Project impact area. This the City's off-road trail network; see Figure 1 in Attachment B (Project Description). The would not acquire any land from this resource nor adversely effect its features or use by	Projec	t
	b.	Please identify any properties within the project limits that used funds from the Land & Water CorFund Act.	servatio	n
		There are no Land & Water Conservation Fund Act properties in the Project area, therefore 6(f) does not apply to this project.	ore Sect	ion
	c.	Please list any Wild and Scenic Rivers and Scenic Byways within the project limits.		
		None.		
В.	Λ	ricultural Lands -		
ο.	_	Are there agricultural lands within 300 feet of the project limits?	Yes	√ No
		es, please describe impacts.	_	
	b.A	Are impacted lands considered to be unique and prime farmland?	Yes	x No
.	Riv	rers, Streams (continuous or intermittent) or Tidal Waters		
	a.	Identify all waterbodies within 300 feet of the project limits or that will otherwise be impacted.		
		None		
	b.	Identify stream crossing structures by type.		
		None.		
_				
10.	land	oal Lands - Identify whether the project will occur within any Tribal lands, including reservation, tru ds. Please do not list usual and accustomed areas.	st and fe	ee
	The	e Project is not within Tribal lands or trust and fee lands.		

	Part 4 - Environmental Considerations 07/28/20
11.	Water Quality/Stormwater
	a.Will this project's proposed stormwater treatment be consistent with either WSDOT's HRM, DOE's stormwater management manual for eastern/western Washington or a local agency equivalent manual? ✓ Yes ☐ No
	If No, explain proposed water quality/quantity treatment for the new and any existing impervious surface associated with the proposed project.
	b. Amount of existing impervious surface within the project limits: 353,132
	c. Net new impervious surface to be created as a result of this project: 61,828 (per 30% design)
12.	Previous Environmental Commitments
	Describe previous environmental commitments that may affect or be affected by the project - If any.
	None.
13.	Environmental Justice
	Does the project meet any of the exemptions noted in Appendix L of the NEPA CE Guidebook?
	If Yes, please note the exemption and appropriate justification in the space below.
	8
	If No, are minority or low-income populations located within the limits of the project's potential impacts?
	Impacts to Environmental Justice populations are described in Attachment H ✓ Yes ☐ No
	If No, attach appropriate data to support findings. If Yes, describe impacts and attach appropriate supporting documentation. Findings should be confirmed using at least two information sources. Please refer to the NEPA CE Guidebook for more information.

QC Review by Michael Hoffmann

Part 5 - Biological Assessments and EFH Evaluations		
1. Do any listed species potentially occur in the project's action area and/or is any designated critical habitat present within the project's action area? (If No, attach species listings.)		
Affected ESA Listed Species	2. Will any construction work occur within 0.25 mile of any of the following?	3. Does the project involve blasting, pile driving, concrete sawing, rock-drilling or rock-scaling activity within one mile of any of the following?
Oregon Spotted Frog proposed critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ✓ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
Yellow-billed Cuckoo suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ✓ No	Yes ✓ No
Spotted Owl management areas, designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ✓ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
Marbled Murrelet nest or occupied stand, designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ✓ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
Western Snowy Plover designated critical habitat?	☐ Yes ✓ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
Is the project within 0.25 mile of marine waters? If Yes explain potential effects on Killer Whales and on Marbled Murrelet foraging areas.	☐Yes ✔ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
Killer Whale designated critical habitat?	☐ Yes ✓ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
Grizzly Bear suitable habitat?	Yes ✓ No	Yes ✓ No
Gray Wolf suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ✓ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
Canada Lynx habitat?	Yes ✓ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
Columbia White-tailed Deer suitable habitat?	Yes ✓ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
Woodland Caribou habitat?	Yes ✓ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
Streaked Horned Lark designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ✓ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
Taylor's Checkerspot designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?		
Mazama Pocket Gopher designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?		
Eulachon designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ✓ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
Rockfish proposed critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ✓ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
A mature coniferous or mixed forest stand?	☐ Yes ✓ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
4. Will the project involve any in-water work?		
5. Will any construction work occur within 300 feet of any perennial or intermittent waterbody that either supports or drains to waterbody supporting listed fish?		
6. Will any construction work occur within 300 feet of any wetland, part any permanent or intermittent waterbody?		
7. Does the action have the potential to directly or indirectly impact of for salmonids (including adjacent riparian zones)?		
8. Will the project discharge treated or untreated stormwater runoff or utilize water from a waterbody that supports or drains into a listed-fish supporting waterbody? ✓ Yes No		
9. Will construction occur outside the existing pavement? If Yes go to	o 9a	✓ Yes 🔲 No
9a. Will construction activities occurring outside the existing pavemen filling or modification of vegetation or tree-cutting?		
10. Are there any Federally listed Threatened or Endangered plant species located within the project limits?		
11. Does a mature coniferous or mixed forest stand occur within 200'		4

Analysis for No Effects Determination - If there are any Yes answers to questions in Part 5, additional analysis of required. Attach additional sheets if needed.				
The Project is within a highly urbanized area with improvements generally within existing right-of-way. There are no known biological or critical areas located within the Project area. The Project would convert areas of landscaping and mowed grasses to impervious surfaces due to roadway widening; however, landscaping is also proposed as part of the Project. Additionally, the Project will be adding treatment for the new impervious surface.				
Surface water discharge will occur 500 feet system.	or more away from the Project site to a municipal discharge			
Maintenance Forum to utilize 4(d).	r NMFS - A local agency must be certified by the Regional Road [Project activities do not fall under definition of "maintenance" in RRMP Guidelines]			
Maintenance Category (check all that apply)	_			
1. Roadway Surface	6. Stream Crossings 11. Emergency Slide/Washout Repair			
2. Enclosed Drainage Systems	7. Gravel Shoulders 12. Concrete			
3. Cleaning Enclosed Drainage Systems	8. Street Surface Cleaning 13. Sewer Systems			
4. Open Drainage Systems	9. Bridge Maintenance 14. Water Systems			
5. Watercourses and Streams	10. Snow and Ice Control 15. Vegetation			
Describe how the project fits in the RRMP 4(c) Program:			
Effect De	terminations for ESA and EFH			
If each of the questions in the preceding section resulted in a "No" response or if any of the questions were checked "Yes," but adequate justification can be provided to support a "no effect" determination, then check "No Effect". If this checklist cannot be used for Section 7 compliance (i.e., adequate justification cannot be provided or a "may effect" determination is anticipated), a separate biological assessment document is required.				
NMFS	USFWS EFH Determination			
✓ No Effect	No Adverse Effect			
NLTAA - Date of Concurrence	Adverse Effect -			
LTAA - Date BO issued	Date of NMFS concurrence			
RRMP 4(d)	x Not Applicable			
P	art 6- FHWA Comments			
-				
755				
	- 1			