From: webmaster@shorelinewa.gov

To: agenda comments

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Agenda Comments

Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 4:58:26 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Shoreline. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

A new entry to a form/survey has been submitted.

Form Name: Comment on Agenda Items

Date & Time: 06/06/2022 4:58 pm

Response #: 987
Submitter ID: 48113
IP address: 24.19.6.70
Time to complete: 7 min., 3 sec.

Survey Details: Answers Only

Page 1

- **1.** (o) Dr.
- **2.** Bryan Chow
- **3.** Shoreline
- **4.** (o) Richmond Beach
- **5.** brychow@gmail.com
- **6.** 06/06/2022
- **7.** 8a
- 8. The power of eminent domain is one of the most powerful a government has, and it should not be used lightly. To propose the ACTIVE SEIZURE of someone's personal property is no light act and must be exercised only after thoughtful consideration. This consideration is unfortunately absent in this proposed action. It is clear from the the May 23 meeting that the council has not thought through the logistics of this condemnation. When the question of access was brought up the city attorney said they had "no specifics" as to who owns what parts of the road leading to the north of end of the proposed condemnation parcel. You will end up with a beach that the public "owns" but has no meaningful access to. Great, we just threw away hundreds of thousands of dollars and wound up right where we started.

What of the property around the bluff of Richmond Saltwater Park? Should we start condemnation proceedings because hey, that upper bluff pathway is not really big enough for more than one family to walk 4 abreast, so let's start condemning those properties for the "public good".

There is no plan on the city for this beach, in terms of access or mitigation of traffic concerns to the people most affected by this proposed condemnation, the residents of 27th Ave. NW. Instead the

City is pursuing a "Shoot first, ask questions later" approach, which is totally the opposite of what should be done when proposing the SEIZURE of someone's property.

Please table this vote until a plan is formulated with the appropriate agencies (Ecology, etc) and input is provided from the people who are affected the most with this Ordinance, the residents of 27th ave NW. Thank you.

9. (o) Oppose

Thank you,

City of Shoreline

This is an automated message generated by Granicus. Please do not reply directly to this email.