

**From:** [webmaster@shorelinewa.gov](mailto:webmaster@shorelinewa.gov)  
**To:** [agenda comments](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Agenda Comments  
**Date:** Saturday, May 21, 2022 12:00:14 PM

---

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the City of Shoreline. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

A new entry to a form/survey has been submitted.

**Form Name:** Comment on Agenda Items  
**Date & Time:** 05/21/2022 12:00 pm  
**Response #:** 954  
**Submitter ID:** 47781  
**IP address:** 50.125.94.9  
**Time to complete:** 30 min. , 34 sec.

---

#### Survey Details: Answers Only

---

##### Page 1

---

1. (o) Mr.
2. Gerald Dugan
3. Shoreline
4. (o) Richmond Beach
5. [grampdugan@gmail.com](mailto:grampdugan@gmail.com)
6. 05/23/2022
7. Ordinance No 967
8. 

Re: Ordinance #967, As a resident and taxpayer for many years, I want you to postpone a vote on this ordinance and reject it outright. I wonder if you, city council, have done any research on the complexity of this area. We as property owners have put up with the people, trash, parties, bonfires late into the night, people walking right through our yard because the tide came in and their lack of planning along with daily dog bags left on our porches and yards. All of this because disrespectful people do not abide by signage and feel it is OK and it is their right. Did you know there are two signs, "No Beach Access" on the east side of the bridge; also the big sign says "End of City St." This means no public access. The city put these sign up--not the property owners.

The city was offered the property a few years back and they declined. Why, because they would have to get an easement from BNSF and the property owners. The liability is too great for BNSF and property owners. Where are the people going to park, hours it is open, who will maintain, and what park service employee will close and open it daily? It is a one-lane road which commercial vehicles, Waste Management, Aid vehicles, and Fire Trucks have to back out of this street.

I think a good solution to the problem, instead of stealing the property through eminent domain from the homeowner at end of 27th Ave NW is to be fair and purchase all the property from the bridge south on 27th. That would be 15 homes at an average of about 3.5 million dollars. That

would be about 52 million. Then you could tear down the houses and have all the beach access you want.

Oh, one problem is what about the real estate taxes we pay? Right no an average of \$17,000 per year times 15 homes. That is about \$250,000 per year loss of income to this beautiful city. Then you would have to increase everyone else's property taxes to make up for this brilliant decision of the city. I'm sure the majority of residents of Shoreline would not be pleased with increased taxes.

As elected officials who represent our fine city, I am very disappointed as to how this has been handled without proper notice and respect to the homeowner at the south end of 27th Ave NW and all the homeowners on this street. We need elected officials who represent the people and taxpayers in all aspects of decisions being made. We need you, city council members to do your due diligence and extensive research and planning of outcomes before such an ordinance is brought forward. You all have failed on this. We are against this and reject outright.

Gerald Dugan

9. (o) Oppose

Thank you,  
**City of Shoreline**

---

**This is an automated message generated by Granicus. Please do not reply directly to this email.**