Archived: Monday, March 21, 2022 10:50:10 AM

From: webmaster@shorelinewa.gov

Sent: Sunday, March 20, 2022 11:26:16 PM

To: agenda comments

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Agenda Comments

Sensitivity: Normal

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Shoreline. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

A new entry to a form/survey has been submitted.

Form Name: Comment on Agenda Items

Date & Time: 03/20/2022 11:26 pm

Response #: 933 **Submitter ID:** 46631

IP address: 2601:602:9600:1cb0:89:f0ab:eaf4:545c

Time to complete: 13 min., 25 sec.

Survey Details: Answers Only

Page 1

- 1. Jean Hilde
- 2. Shoreline
- 3. (o) Briarcrest
- jmhilde61@gmail.com
- **5.** 03/21/2022
- **6.** 8.c.
- **7.** Dear City Council:

I support the citizen Tree Preservation Code Team's proposed amendments across the board.

Specifically as to TPCT's proposed amendment C.2.6, Definition of Significant Tree, the City of Shoreline already uses the six-inch dbh definition for significant Public Trees. Using six—inch dbh for all trees would simplify and bring consistency to the code. The fact that this measurement is already in the code proves that the City believes it is a reasonable definition of a significant tree. There is no valid reason to have differing measurements in different parts of the code. TPCT's proposed amendment C.2.6 should be approved. In addition, at least 11 of our surrounding neighbor cities use the six-inch dbh definition for significant trees.

Specifically as to staff's proposed amendment C.9, giving the Director the power to waive ["refrain from applying or enforcing a rule, restriction, or fee"] or reduce the required minimum significant tree retention percentage will in no way "further greater tree preservation" as the staff comment claims, it will only lessen tree preservation. Thus, staff's proposed amendment C.9 should not be approved.

Similarly, giving the Director the power to reduce the number of replacement trees required will also lessen tree preservation. This is why TPCT's proposed amendment should be approved. If a site cannot reasonably accommodate all required replacement trees, then either those replacement trees are planted elsewhere or the fee-in-lieu is paid. There should be no administrative discretion involved.

Allowing a city employee the discretion to waive or change code requirements can lead to a number of undesirable results, including uncertainty about what and who the code covers, why some projects qualify for a waiver or reduction while others do not, failure to notify the public of or to allow public input into such decisions, and the appearance of unfairness or favoritism. These pitfalls are avoided in traditional zoning, where, according to the American Planning Association, an "...ordinance contains a set of precise rules drafted in such a way that development is ordinarily possible without the exercise of any discretion in individual cases by enforcement officials." Fair and equitable treatment of all citizens is protected by the consistent enforcement of regulations. TPCT's proposed amendment will protect our trees as well as assure equitable treatment of citizens under the city code. The tree code team's amendment should be approved for these reasons.

The TPCT has also submitted a proposal that Council establish an Urban Forestry Advisory Panel, a potential iteration of which is a stand-alone Tree Board. As Council is aware, the current PRCS/T Board has a very broad scope of responsibility, which was only increased by the 2012 decision to add trees to the Board's already-wide range of duties. A decade later, climate change has brought the need to protect our urban canopy to the forefront, raising issues such as environmental degradation and resulting socioeconomic inequities that are imperative for our City to address, and to address quickly. A stand-alone Tree Board comprised of experts focused solely on such issues, as most of our neighboring communities already have in place, is simply a necessity for Shoreline if we are to protect our current urban forest assets as well as create a more

climate resilient community for all. I ask that Council consider the UFAP proposal in the near future.

Thank you for your consideration and for your hard work. Jean $\operatorname{\mathsf{Hilde}}$

Although I am a member of the City of Shoreline's PRCS/T Board, the views expressed above are solely my own and are not meant to represent opinions of the Board or any other of its members.

8. (o) Support

Thank you,

City of Shoreline

This is an automated message generated by Granicus. Please do not reply directly to this email.