Archived: Monday, March 15, 2021 2:38:06 PM

From: Debbie Tarry

Sent: Monday, March 15, 2021 2:25:37 PM

To: Chris Roberts

Cc: John Norris; Pollie McCloskey; Heidi Costello

Subject: RE: Comp plan question

Sensitivity: Normal

Chris -

Here are answers to your questions from staff. We will put this in the Green Folder for tonight.

1) Does the property at 18554 Firlands have split comp plan designations and is this part of the proposal?

The property at 18554 Firlands does have a split Comp Plan designation of High-Density Residential and Low-Density Residential. This property is NOT part of this proposal.

2) LU 18 defines "public facility" in the comprehensive plan. In reading that section, there appears to be a recognition that if the public facility use is discontinued, the underlying zoning remains. Is this correct?

Yes, this is exactly correct. For example, if the park and ride is discontinued the zoning of MB and R-18 will remain. If a new property owner wanted to rezone the entire park and ride site to MB for redevelopment purposes, that property owner would have to propose a Comprehensive Plan amendment to change the R-18 portion of the site.

3) The comp plan defines "essential public facilities" and suggests there is a requirement for the city to identify essential public facilities in the comp plan. However, I could not find a good definition for "public facility" without "essential" or a philosophy of when a property has a designation of "public facility". The NCWD maintenance facility is not designated a "public facility" nor is City Hall, but the park and rides are. Can you please clarify?

The short answer is the City adopted the Public Facilities Comprehensive Plan Designation from King County when the City adopted its first Comp plan in 1998. Some parcels with the Public Facilities designation include the transfer station, some schools owned by the Shoreline School District, King County Court, and the SPU water towers on the corner of the CRISTA Campus. The City maintains a list of capital facilities (City and non-City managed) and utilities as required by the GMA. Those facilities are not always on land designed Public Facilities in the Comp Plan.

Debbie Tarry

City Manager | City of Shoreline 17500 Midvale Avenue N, Shoreline, WA 98133 Pronouns: she/her

☎ (206) 801-2211 | <u>www.shorelinewa.gov</u>



NOTICE OF PUBLIC DISCLOSURE: This email account is public domain. Any correspondence from or to this email account may be a public record. Accordingly, this email, in whole or in part, may be subject to disdosure pursuant to RCW 42.56, regardless of any daim of confidentiality or privilege asserted by an external party.

From: Chris Roberts <croberts@shorelinewa.gov>

Sent: Monday, March 15, 2021 9:57 AM **To:** Debbie Tarry <dtarry@shorelinewa.gov>

Subject: Comp plan question

Debbie,

Are you clarify a couple things for me.

- 1) Does the property at 18554 Firlands have split comp plan designations and is this part of the proposal?
- 2) LU 18 defines "public facility" in the comprehensive plan. In reading that section, there appears to be a recognition that if the public facility use is discontinued, the underlying zoning remains. Is this correct?
- 3) The comp plan defines "essential public facilities" and suggests there is a requirement for the city to identify essential public

facilities in the comp plan. However, I could not find a good definition for "public facility" without "essential" or a philosophy of when a
property has a designation of "public facility". The NCWD maintenance facility is not designated a "public facility" nor is City Hall, but
the park and rides are. Can you please clarify?

Thank you,

Chris

Chris Roberts (he/him) Councilmember, City of Shoreline <u>croberts@shorelinewa.gov</u> (206) 391-2733