Carla Hoekzema

From:

webmaster@shorelinewa.gov

Sent:

Monday, November 18, 2019 3:04 PM

To:

Plancom; Carla Hoekzema

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Contact the Planning Commission

A new entry to a form/survey has been submitted.

Form Name:

Contact the Planning Commission

Date & Time:

11/18/2019 3:04 pm

Response #:

44

Submitter ID:

32627

IP address:

2001:470:e812:8001:4261:86ff:fe98:1184

Time to complete: 22 min., 9 sec.

Survey Details: Answers Only

Page 1

- (o) North City 1.
- 2. (o) Email
- 3. Geoffrey Dairiki
- 4. 1884 NE 170th St
- 5. 206-528-5794
- 6. dairiki@dairiki.org
- 7. 2019-11-21
- 8. Irons Brothers Comp Plan Amendment & Rezone
- 9. Hello,

We are writing to urge you to deny Comprehensive Plan Amendment #1. (We live on NE 170th roughly two blocks to the East of the properties in question.) We feel that the amendment should be denied for the following reasons:

For the general welfare and will of the Community. The number of Shoreline voters and residents on NE 170th St that have commented in opposition to this proposal makes it clear that the Community does not want these residential lots permanently rezoned to business and doesn't want the business impacts to continue in the neighborhood. Hundreds of opposing oral and written comments have been given at City Planning Commission meetings, City Council meetings, and submitted to the City since January 2019.

This proposal does not follow generally accepted land use, zoning, and planning principles, is limited in scope, and will only benefit one company while impacting the general welfare of the community as a whole, especially the surrounding neighborhoods. This proposal amounts to a "spot rezone" and will only benefit one company in direct contradiction to the will and general welfare of the Community. Under Washington State case law (as noted by the Washington State Office of the Attorney General) this proposal is likely illegal and will not hold up to a legal challenge.

Approval would set a bad precedent for changing the rules for violators of City laws instead of enforcing existing rules.