## Alternative Delivery Methods for the Shoreline Aquatics, Recreation and Community Center Project



Staff are preparing to move forward on the ShARCC project should Proposition 1 be passed by voters in November

Use of an alternative project delivery method to the traditional "design-bid-build" model to:

- Meet the planned opening date
- Hold costs within the budget



Why not traditional "design-bid-build" for the ShARCC?

- Large and complex facility
- Sequential process adds time
  - Later opening date
  - Construction cost and schedule growth
  - Higher risks to city



## **Design-Bid-Build**

#### Structure

#### Pros/cons – Owner/Agency



Owner holds two contracts and warrants design to the GC



- Not well suited for complex projects with sensitivity to change and schedule
- Linear process = longer schedule

## **Design-Bid-Build**



Required to select lowest bid

- Not flexible •
- Not transparent •



Owner holds two contracts and warrants design to the GC

Chapter 39.10 RCW provides for alternative project delivery methodologies

- Authorizes the State Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) and its Project Review Committee (PRC)
- Sets up Design-build (DB) or general contractor/construction manager (GC/CM) contracting procedures
  - Entities can be certified to use
  - Entities not certified can apply to use one of them for a particular project
- City needs to apply for use on ShARCC

#### Criteria for Design-Build

- Total project cost over two million dollars
- Construction activities are highly specialized and a design-build approach is critical in developing the construction methodology
- Provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder
- or significant savings in project delivery time would be realized

A team in place that can show expertise and project management capability to deliver a project using the DB methodology



#### Criteria for GC/CM

- Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination;
- Involves construction at an occupied facility which must continue to operate during construction;
- Involvement of the general contractor/construction manager during the design stage is critical to the success of the project;
- Encompasses a complex or technical work environment;
- Requires specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or
- The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil construction project.

A team in place that can show expertise and project management capability to deliver a project using the DB methodology



## **Traditional Design Build**

#### Structure

#### Pros/cons – Owner/Agency





Owner holds one contract and GC warrants the design



- Typically suited for longer/larger project
- Honorarium paid to proposers not selected

# **Traditional Design Build**

#### Schedule

Qualifications, Design and Pricing Based Selection

- Up to 30% design
- Honorariums to firms not selected
- Heavy owner involvement up front

Final Design and Build

- Negotiate GMP at 50% design
- Low owner involvement

Owner holds one contract and GC warrants the design (Traditional and Progressive DB)



## **Progressive Design Build**

#### Schedule Pros/cons – Owner/Agency Primary More Phase I: Phase II: **Quals Based** Less **Final Design** Design Selection with Services and Build Time A Small pricing Component Cost Negotiate • Usually up to 50% Risk Qualifications • GMP design level Interview Flexibility Low owner Collaborative Proposal • involvement • Transparent Pricing factor • Heavy owner Scope and budget determined through collaborator process involvement between owner and team

Owner holds one contract and GC warrants the design

#### **General Contractor/Construction Manager**

#### Structure

#### Pros/cons – Owner/Agency





Owner holds two contracts and warrants design to the GC



• Not suited for smaller projects

Cost unknown until 90% design

#### **General Contractor/Construction Manager**

### Schedule

Qualification Based Selections

Negotiate GMP at 90% Design



- RFP
- Interview
- RFFP
- Contract prior to start of design development

- Not before
  90%
- Can be at 100%

- Collaborative
- Flexible
- Partnership
- Transparent



Owner holds two contracts and warrants design to the GC

- City Project team
- City Staff and consultant
- Consultant selection completed Parametrix
- Project staffing determined by method
  selected

- Staff are seeking feedback on the approach to this work and resources required.
- Next steps if Proposition 1 passes
  - Return to Council on November 18, 2019 for consideration of an agreement with Parametrix
    - Workshop to select Alternative Delivery Method November
    - Submit application to CPARB-PRC December
    - Presentation to and decision by CPARB-PRC January
  - Return to Council in early 2020 with a staffing plan for the project.

