



Memorandum

DATE: April 19, 2019

TO: City of Shoreline Hearing Examiner

FROM: Jennifer K. Wells, Sound Transit Senior Planner
Juniper Nammi, Sound Transit Project Manager

RE: Errata to Exhibit 1 – Lynnwood Link Extension SUP PLN18-0140
Staff Report, dated April 11, 2019

On April 11, 2019, the City issued a Staff Report (Exhibit 1) to the Hearing Examiner on the Lynnwood Link Extension Special Use Permit application (PLN18-0140). This errata memorandum serves to make the following corrections to the staff report.

1. Page 35 of the Staff Report, Section II, City Analysis for criterion 1. contains a mistake in the concluding statement referencing conditions and a landscape code modification that are not applicable to this criterion. The last sentence should be corrected to read:

“The City concludes that the Project, ~~as conditioned and subject to the approval of related landscape code modification (See Section II. C. Code Modifications),~~ satisfies this decision criterion.”
2. Page 41 of the Staff Report, Section II, City Analysis for criterion 3. mistakenly references a landscape code modification that is not applicable to this criterion in the concluding statement. The last sentence should read:

“The City concludes that the proposed Project, ~~as conditioned and subject to the approval of related landscape code modification (See Section II. C. Code Modifications),~~ satisfies this decision criterion.”
3. Page 42 of the Staff Report, Section II, City Analysis for criterion 4. contains a mistake in the concluding statement referencing a landscape code modification that is not relevant to this criterion. The last sentence should read:

“The City concludes that the proposed Project, ~~as conditioned and subject to the approval of related landscape code modification (See Section II. C. Code Modifications),~~ satisfies this decision criterion.”
4. Page 59 of the Staff Report, Section II, listed item g) in the City Analysis “reevaluate” should be corrected to “reevaluated.”
5. Page 61 of the Staff Report, Section II, first sentence of first paragraph under City Analysis includes a typo. Sentence should read:

“This criterion seeks to ensure that the City’s transportation system ~~if~~ is adequate to support the Project...”

6. Page 61 of the Staff Report, Section II, at the bottom of the page the criterion header number "4." is a typo and should be corrected to "3." No criteria are missing.
7. Page 67 of the Staff Report, Section II, the "City Analysis" is missing after the Sound Transit Statement for criterion 1.e. This was missed and will be provided in a separate memo with City recommended modifications to the Staff Report.
8. Page 78 of the Staff Report, Section II, incorrectly references pages 53-55 within the Staff report. The correct pages with additional analysis related to Guiding Principle 3.a. are 57-59.
9. Page 82 of the Staff Report, Section II, City Analysis, second paragraph, first sentence is a spelling typo. Sentence should read:

"...Sound Transit's design ~~criteria~~ criteria manual..."
10. Page 93 of the Staff Report, Section II, the City Analysis for Guiding Principle 6.f. includes a spelling typo. "Duel" should be corrected to be "dual."
11. Page 106 of the Staff Report, Section II, the "Sound Transit Justification:" header is mistakenly duplicated.
12. Page 110 of the Staff Report, Section II, the last sentence of the City Analysis for Deviation No. 1 includes a typo. Sentence should read:

"Staff has determined an 40-11-foot lane width is an acceptable lane width for these locations."
13. Pages 117-118 of the Staff Report, Section II, the City Analysis for Engineering Deviation No. 6 includes grammatical and typographical errors. The first paragraph of the City Analysis should be corrected to read:

"Staff finds that the proposed group of deviations, to provide alternate dedications and shared-use paths in lieu of standard frontage and street end improvements, meet the engineering deviation decision criteria, and recommends approval of Deviation No. 6, except for the NE 161st Street end and NE 189th Street End. ~~For these~~ These two (2) locations ~~that~~ do not satisfy the approval criteria, to allow for a The deviations in these two locations would conform with SMC 20.30.290, with modification of the final design for these road segments need modification as follows:"
14. Page 129 of the Staff Report, Section III, condition A.1. includes three typos.
 - In item A.1.b. the date "April 5, 2019," of the draft Partnership Memo in Exhibit 27 in the second sentence should be corrected to "April 9, 2019."
 - The third item in the list should be corrected to "c."
 - The first sentence in the third item (A.1.c.) is missing the word "by" and should be corrected to read:

"In the event that a Partnership Agreement is not executed by the deadline referenced above..."
15. Page 131 of the Staff Report, the first sentence of condition B.2. contains a typo. The report title should be:

"... the final ~~Noise~~ Noise, Vibration, and Groundborne Noise Reports ..."

16. Page 135 of the Staff Report, Section III, condition D.8. includes a punctuation typo. The first sentence should have a comma and not a period after the word “amended.” The sentence should be corrected as follows:
 “...or as amended, prior to issuance of...”
17. Page 142 of the Staff Report, Section III, the end of condition E.3. includes a typo. The Work “accusation” should be corrected to “acquisition.”
18. Page 143 of the Staff Report, Section III, conditions H.5. and H.6. reference the incorrect SMC sections. They should both reference SMC 20.80.082.
19. Page 144 of the Staff Report, Section III, condition I.1. incorrectly references pages 53-56 within the Staff report. The correct pages describing the proposed sustainability measures for the Project are 54-59.
20. Page 145 of the Staff Report, Section III, condition J.2. should be deleted in full. The substantive elements of this condition are incorporated in J.1.d and the last sentence of the condition. Staff intended deletion of J.2.
21. Page 148 of the Staff Report, Section III, the last sentence of condition K.6. includes a typo and should be corrected to read:
 “... under the required site development permits for each station site.”