Shoreline City Clerk

Receiving No. 10

1-95-009

King County



* No ORIGINAL.

Interlocal Agreement Between King County and the City of Shoreline

Relating to Monitoring of Contracts for Services and Cost Differentials of Certain Contracts

Clerk's Receiving
No010
Date 9/22/95
Smalljoli
Res.22

This is an agreement between King County, a home rule charter county, a political subdivision of the State of Washington, hereinafter referred to as the "County", and the City of Shoreline, a municipal corporation of the State of Washington, hereinafter referred to as the "City".

WHEREAS the City will incorporate and commence operation on August 31, 1995; and

WHEREAS beginning on the date of incorporation, the County will provide certain municipal services to the City through contracts; and

WHEREAS the County has estimated the costs of these services and the City has relied upon these estimates in developing its 1995 budget; and

WHEREAS the City and County desire to monitor service provision and the actual costs thereof;

NOW THEREFORE, the County and City hereby agree:

1. Contract Monitoring. A contract monitoring committee, composed of a representative of the City Manager, a representative of the County Executive, a representative of the County Council, and a representative of the City Council, will meet as desired and necessary to review service provision and costs for the following interlocal agreements:

- ° Public Health
- Jail Booking and Maintenance
- Law Enforcement Services
- Surface Water Management
- ° Solid Waste
- Animal Control
- ° Building Permits
- ° Parks
- ° District Court
- Real Property Permits
- Regulatory and Business License
- ° Roads Maintenance
- Any other agreements that either party wishes to review

This contract monitoring is in addition to the routine contract administration activities provided for in the particular agreements. The committee will be available to elected

officials to report on significant performance and costs issues. For information on particular agreements, the County representatives will consult with and, as appropriate, secure assistance from the County agencies providing the service.

- 2. Cost Differentials. For any contract where the City will be billed for actual cost and usage of the service, should the amount billed for calendar year 1995 exceed one hundred and fifteen percent (115%) of the amount estimated by the County, the City may elect to extend the payment period, paying the amount above the 115% over a two-year period beginning January 1, 1996. The City shall present the County with a payment schedule by January 31, 1996. Interest at the rate the County could have earned on overnight investments shall be assessed monthly on any unpaid balance in 1995. At any time, the City may make full or additional partial payments on the amount due, with no prepayment penalty.
- 3. Agreement in Principle. Both parties recognize that the transition of authorities and responsibilities from the County to the City involves not only decisions and agreements on provision of major municipal services but also numerous other matters. Many of the latter require study that could not be accomplished satisfactorily within the time available prior to incorporation

Therefore, the parties have noted the following subjects for further discussion and, if necessary, agreements:

- ° Transfer of utility franchise fees, school, road or other mitigation fees collected and/or conditioned as part of land use application approval.
- ° Costs and service options associated with City prisoner transport to and from the King County jail.
- 4. <u>Duration</u>. This Agreement is effective upon authorization and signature by both parties. The agreement shall renew automatically from year-to-year so long as any other agreements referenced in Section 1 above are in effect, unless either party provides sixty days written notice to terminate the agreement, provided that during 1995 the County may not terminate the agreement, and provided further than once the City elects an extended payment schedule that schedule may continue beyond the term of this Agreement unless amended by the City.

5. Amendments. This Agreement may be amended at any time by mutual written agreement of the parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this agreement.

King County	City of Shoreline
La file	Stillinuth
King County Executive	City Manager
8-29-95	Cluquet 23, 1995
Date	Date /
Approved as to Form	Approved as to Form
Por DCX:	Milael Kenjon Stry 95
	100 wall penyon 8/24/95
King County Deputy Prosecuting Attorney	City Attorney

OFM August 14, 1995 o:/regional/contracts/shore/umbrella

RESOLUTION NO. 22

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF SHORELINE, WASHINGTON, AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SHORELINE AND KING COUNTY RELATING TO MONITORING OF CONTRACTS FOR SERVICES AND COST DIFFERENTIALS OF CERTAIN CONTRACTS

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SHORELINE, WASHINGTON, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. <u>Authorization</u>. City Manager or designee is authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the, City the Interlocal Agreement with King County, Washington, relating to monitoring of contracts for services and cost differentials of certain contracts, a copy of which has been filed with the City Clerk and identified with Clerk's Receiving No.

Section 2. <u>Effective Date</u>. The effective date of the Interlocal Agreement relating to monitoring of contracts for services and cost differentials of certain contracts will be the official date of incorporation

Section 3. <u>Ratification and Confirmation</u>. Any acts made consistent with the authority and prior to the effective date of this resolution are ratified and confirmed.

ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL ON JULY 31, 1995

Mayor Connie King

ATTEST:

Marie K. O'Connell, CMC

Interim City Clerk