



CONTRACT AMENDMENT/CHANGE ORDER FORM

	Originator:	Alex Herzog		Routed by:	Alex Herzog	
DESCRIPTION	Department/Division:	City Manager's Office		Date:	10/27/2017	
	Name of Consultant/Contractor:	King County District Court				
	ORIGINAL CONTRACT TITLE:	Interlocal Agreement for Provision of District Court Services Between King County and the City of Shoreline				
	Original Description Provision of District Court Services for all City cases filed by the City in Dist. Ct. Local court services imposed of Services: by State statute, court rule, City ordinance or other regulations.					
CONTRACT CONTENT	Type of Contract: (A) Amendment # 3 (C) Change Order #					
	Type of Change: Contract Time	Contract Amount	Amount X Other (specify below)			
	Original Expiration date: 12/31/2021		This Amendment/C	This Amendment/CO Amount: \$		
	Previous Extensions:		Previous Amendme	Previous Amendments/COs: \$		
	This Extension:		Original Contract A	Original Contract Amount: \$		
	Projected Final Completion:		New Contract Total	New Contract Total: \$ 0.00		
NO	Latter from I/C noting rovice	sian ta raaanailiatiar	a far agata far 2016 a	amica waar /r	sold in 2017) and	
CON	Letter from KC noting revise acknowledging effort to revise to the second secon	vise cost allocation	for 2017 service year	and beyond	,	
NOO	acknowledging effort to rev This Change Order/Amendment: \$	vise cost allocation	for 2017 service year	and beyond	,	
S	acknowledging effort to rev	vise cost allocation	for 2017 service year	and beyond	,	
ETAILS	acknowledging effort to rev This Change Order/Amendment: \$ Org Key – Obj #	vise cost allocation	for 2017 service year (Amount Verification Org Key – Obj #	and beyond		
DETAILS	acknowledging effort to rev This Change Order/Amendment: \$ Org Key - Obj # J/L # - Task # Org Key - Obj #	vise cost allocation 6 0.00 Amount:	for 2017 service year (Amount Verification Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task # Org Key – Obj #	and beyond	Amount:	
DETAILS	acknowledging effort to rev This Change Order/Amendment: \$ Org Key - Obj # J/L # - Task # Org Key - Obj # J/L # - Task # Org Key - Obj #	Vise cost allocation 6 0.00 Amount: Amount: Amount:	(Amount Verification Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task # Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task # Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task #	and beyond	Amount:	
ANCIAL DETAILS	acknowledging effort to rev This Change Order/Amendment: \$ Org Key - Obj # J/L # - Task # Org Key - Obj # J/L # - Task # Org Key - Obj # J/L # - Task # Are there sufficient funds in the curre Remarks: Court costs are allocate	Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Anount: Ano	(Amount Verification Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task # Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task # Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task # Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task # Ontract? Org Yes annually and each city's call cost is highly depende	and beyond O No cost is offset by	Amount: Amount: Amount:	
IANCIAL DETAILS	acknowledging effort to rev This Change Order/Amendment: \$ Org Key - Obj # J/L # - Task # Org Key - Obj # J/L # - Task # Org Key - Obj # J/L # - Task # Are there sufficient funds in the curre Remarks: Court costs are allocate via court infractions, fine changes from year to year	Amount: Amount: Amount: Amount: Anount: Ano	(Amount Verification Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task # Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task # Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task # Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task # Ontract? Org Yes annually and each city's call cost is highly depende	n) No cost is offset by nt on a wide va	Amount: Amount: its revenue generated	



401 Fifth Avenue, Suite 800 Seattle, WA 98104

206-296-9600 Fax 206-296-0194 www.kingcounty.gov

October 18, 2017

Debbie Tarry, City Manager 17500 Midvale Ave N Shoreline, WA 98133

Dear Ms. Tarry:

This letter is being provided to each city that is a party to the Interlocal Agreement for District Court Services (ILA) and King County to affirm agreement between the parties regarding the 2016 reconciliation settlement. We ask that you sign and return this letter by October 31, 2017, agreeing to the settlement and entering into a negotiation process to discuss potential changes to the cost allocation model.

As you know, we are entering the third 5-year term of the current District Court ILA. This long term agreement has served the cities and King County well by providing detailed service and cost allocation terms. The ILA cost structure was developed collaboratively between the cities and King County through a significance number of negotiations sessions leading up to the 2007 ILA.

The cost structure has resulted in a fair allocation of costs between King County and the cities throughout the first two terms. However, as the 2016 reconciliation was being developed, it became clear that a shift downward in King County caseload produced an unexpected result in the reconciliation outcome. While overall, the cities' caseload remained relatively stable, with the County decline in caseload, the reconciliation process assigned a greater share of the overall cost to cities. This outcome was not anticipated.

The District Court leadership and Executive's Office staff engaged in discussions with cities through the District Court management review committee July through September this year to understand the implications of and develop options for addressing the 2016 reconciliation issue and the broader concern about the cost model. As a result of these conversations, the city and county representatives have agreed to the following steps to address the issues.

➤ For the 2016 reconciliation, the parties agree that the cities' allocation will be reduced by \$748,000. The cost reduction has been incorporated into each city's final settlement reconciliation and invoice (attached). This arrangement will carry forward to the 2017 reconciliation unless a mutual agreement is reached to modify the cost allocation in the process described below.



The parties agree to engage in negotiations with King County for the specific purpose of identifying a possible new, and mutually agreeable, revised District Court cost model for 2017-2021 in order to address the unanticipated cost shifting due to changed caseloads. This negotiation process will begin this fall. If agreement can be reached, it is anticipated the resulting change to the ILA would be accomplished through the amendment process.

The partnership arrangement between King County, the District Court, and the cities has been successful in large part due to our joint commitment to working through issues and concerns. We recognize this issue of the unanticipated outcome of the cost allocation is a significant issue and we are committed to sitting down with the cities to come to a mutually agreed solution for the remainder of the contract term.

If you have any questions, please feel free to have your staff contact Gail Stone, Law and Justice Policy Advisory, King County Executive's Office at (206) 263-9652 or gail.stone@kingcounty.gov. Please return this letter with your signature confirming agreement with the terms above by email to Gail Stone at the address noted above.

Sincerely,

Dow Constantine

King County Executive

Shoreline

Judge Donna Tucker

King County District Court Presiding Judge

Attachments: Exhibits B and C

2016 Invoice

City of

Title:

11/2

Date:



Office of the Chief Presiding Judge

W1034 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 Telephone: (206) 477-1720 Fax: (206) 296-0596

The Honorable Donna Tucker Chief Presiding Judge

Othniel Palomino
Chief Administrative Officer

September 29, 2017

City of Shoreline

INVOICE for King County District Court Services -- City Contract Reconciliation 2016

Date

Amount Due

\$ 302,659.00

TOTAL DUE

302,659.00

Please make check payable to: King County District Court

Remit payment to:
King County District Court
Office of the Presiding Judge, Room W-1034
516 Third Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104

Attention: Heather Dean

206-477-1691

Due by 12/14/2017

Thank you!