

I-10-022

Receiving # Contract # 4141

6071

CONTRACT AMENDMENT/CHANGE ORDER FORM

DESCRIPTION	Originator:	John Norris		Routed by:	Heidi Costello		
	Department/Division:	City Manager's Office		Date:	September 22, 2010		
	Name of Consultant/Contractor:	King County District Court					
	ORIGINAL CONTRACT TITLE:	Agreement to amend interlocal attachment "E" to financial exhibit					
	Original Description of Services:	Provision of district court services between King County and the City of Shoreline					
	Type of Contract: (A) Amendment # (C) Change Order #						
	Type of Change: Contract Time	Contract Amount	Contract Amount				
Ϋ́	Original		This Amendment/C	This Amendment/CO Amount: \$			
CONTRACT CONTENT	Previous Extensions:	Previous Amendme	Previous Amendments/CO's: \$				
	This Extension:		Original Contract A	Original Contract Amount: \$			
	Projected Final Completion:	New Contract Tota	New Contract Total: \$				
	This Change Order/Amendment: \$0.00 Org Key – Obj # Org Key – Obj #						
လ	J/L # - Task #	Amount:	J/L # - Task #		Amount:		
TAIL			SUNTER CONTRACTOR OF THE SUNTER CONTRACTOR OF		Amount:		
ETA	Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task #	Amount:	Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task #		Amount:		
IAL DETAILS		Amount:	Org Key – Obj #				
FINANCIAL DETA	J/L # – Task # Org Key – Obj #	Amount:	Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task # Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task #	C No	Amount:		
	J/L # - Task # Org Key - Obj # J/L # - Task # Are there sufficient funds in the curre	Amount: ent budget to cover this con	Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task # Org Key – Obj # J/L # – Task #		Amount:		

Shoreline City Clerk Receiving Number

Agreement to Amend Interlocal

King County and the City of Shoreline hereby agree to the following amendment to the January 1, 2007 Interlocal Agreement for Provision of District Court Services Between King County and the City of Shoreline:

Attachment "E" to the Financial Exhibit shall be replaced with Attachment "E" - to the Financial Exhibit (Revised September 2010) which is attached to this Agreement.

Dated: 10 . 19 . 10

This amendment shall be effective October 4, 2010.

For the City of Shoreline

Dated: 10 lo 4 lo

Robert L. Olander City Manager

For King County

Dow Constantine

King County Executive

ATTACHMENT "E" - TO THE FINANCIAL EXHIBIT

Revised September 2010

Security Costs per Facility

Facility	Total Sheriff Security Costs per Facility	Average of Judicial percentage and clerical percentage per Facility	City Case Costs per Facility
Bellevue	189,667	80%	152,408
Burien	189,667	10%	18,721
Issaquah	189,667	6%	11,059
Redmond	189,667	34%	64,599
Shoreline	189,667	67%	127,535
Kent _.	189,667	7%	13,562
			387,884

Total Security Costs per Facility Cost per FTE # of FTEs

 Security screener Includes OT
 1.00
 \$ 65,000

 Marshal Includes OT
 1.33
 \$ 116,667

 Sergeant Includes OT
 0.05
 \$ 8,000

 \$ 189,667

Calculation of Multiplier by Facility:

	Clerical Need Percentage			Judicial Need Percentage			
	A	В	C ≃ B/A	D	E	F = E/D	G = (C+F)/2
	Total Clerical Need per Facility	Total Contract City Clerical Need	Percent of Clerical Need for Contract Cities	Total Judicial Need per Facility	Total Contract City Judicial Need	Percent of Judicial Need for Contract Cities	Average of Clerical Need Percent and the Judicial Need Percent by Facility
Bellevue	15,00	11.26	75%	2.10	1.80	86%	80%
Burien	17.00	1.36	8%	3.00	0.35	12%	10%
Issaquah		0.51	5%	1.40	0.09	7%	6%
Redmond		6.39	39%	2,90	0.85	29%	34%
Shoreline		5.72	57%	1.40	1.08	77%	67%
Kent	14.50	0.87	6%	2,00	0.17	8%	7%

Methodology/Definitions/Notes:

- 1. The multiplier by facility is the average of the percent of clerical need for contract cities in the facility and the percent of judicial need for contract cities in the facility. The City Case Cost is the product of the actual staff salary and benefits for security and screening at each facility and the multiplier by facility.
- 2. The Sheriff's Office will begin converting the six Deputies who have provided security at the District Court courthouses with eight Marshals beginning in September 2010. The entire conversion will be phased in over the next year. It is anticipated that increasing the number of Marshals dedicated to District Court courthouses will provide relief for vacation, sick leave, required training, and to minimize overtime, as well as provide a slower rate of cost growth in the future. The portion of the sergeant included in these security costs will be determined by the total number of marshals and screeners the position supervises. FTE costs include salary, benefits, and overtime. The costs included assume conversion of all positions effective January 1, 2011, which is unlikely to happen. The costs are provided for illustration. City costs will be based on actual security and supervision costs.