

From: [Debbie Tarry](#)
To: [Heidi Costello](#)
Subject: FW: Agenda Comments: Lynne Danielson - objection
Date: Monday, November 13, 2017 2:51:54 PM

For Green Folder

Debbie Tarry
City Manager
City of Shoreline
17500 Midvale Ave N.
Shoreline, WA 98133

From: Debbie Tarry
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2017 2:17 PM
To: Carolyn Wurdeman <cwurdema@shorelinewa.gov>; Chris Roberts <croberts@shorelinewa.gov>; Doris McConnell <dmcconnell@shorelinewa.gov>; Jesse Salomon <jsalomon@shorelinewa.gov>; John Norris <jnorris@shorelinewa.gov>; Keith McGlashan <kmcglashan@shorelinewa.gov>; Keith Scully <kscully@shorelinewa.gov>; Shari Winstead <swinstead@shorelinewa.gov>; Will Hall <whall@shorelinewa.gov>
Cc: Rachael Markle <rmarkle@shorelinewa.gov>; Steve Szafran <sszafran@shorelinewa.gov>; Margaret King <mking@shorelinewa.gov>; Julie Ainsworth-Taylor <jainsworth-taylor@shorelinewa.gov>
Subject: FW: Agenda Comments: Lynne Danielson - objection

Council –

Here is a response from Julie:

*Amendment #7 is the Ronald Amendment and is shown as Exhibit 5.
The Amendment does not “purport” to authorize the City to annex or assume anything. In fact, the amendment is contingent upon “formal assumption” of the District by the City. So, all the amendment is doing is a name change and that occurs when assumption is complete.
So, there is no conflict created by this amendment as it is just preparation so once the assumption is complete (King County and/or Snohomish County) that the change automatically occurs.
Moreover, while the BRB may have denied the assumption, the King County Superior Court validated Ronald’s corporate boundaries in Point Wells.*

The City has a services agreement with Ronald so technically we can serve Point Wells thru that (and actually do given the connections that currently exist).

I anticipate that Council will leave this item on the Consent Agenda for this evening so please let me know if there is a councilmember who plans to take this off the Consent Agenda.

Debbie Tarry
City Manager

City of Shoreline
17500 Midvale Ave N.
Shoreline, WA 98133

From: agenda comments

Sent: Monday, November 13, 2017 1:21 PM

To: Debbie Tarry <dtarry@shorelinewa.gov>; John Norris <jnorris@shorelinewa.gov>; Rachael Markle <rmarkle@shorelinewa.gov>; Steve Szafran <sszafran@shorelinewa.gov>

Cc: Carolyn Wurdeman <cwurdema@shorelinewa.gov>

Subject: Agenda Comments: Lynne Danielson - objection

Going in the green folder for tonight.

Heidi C.

From: webmaster@shorelinewa.gov [<mailto:webmaster@shorelinewa.gov>]

Sent: Monday, November 13, 2017 12:53 PM

To: agenda comments <agendacomment@shorelinewa.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Agenda Comments

A new entry to a form/survey has been submitted.

Form Name: Comment on Agenda Items

Date & Time: 11/13/2017 12:52 pm

Response #: 310

Submitter ID: 16102

IP address: 173.160.213.145

Time to complete: 46 min. , 23 sec.

Survey Details: Answers Only

Page 1

-
1. Lynne A Danielson
 2. Poulsbo
 3. (o) Not Applicable
 4. lynned@ovwater.com
 5. 11/13/2017
 6. Public comments relating to Ordinance No. 802 – City of Shoreline Comprehensive Plan Amendments
 7. Dear Shoreline City Council

The Olympic View Water and Sewer District objects to the adoption of Ordinance No. 802, specifically Att. D, "Exhibit 5 to Ordinance No. 802" (pages 7c-184 - 7c-211) because the amendments purport to:

- Authorize the City of Shoreline to annex/assume, plan for and provide sewer service outside of its existing corporate limits. The map of wastewater service, with the service area entitled, "The Point Wells Future Service Annexation Area" (on page 7c-187) states that "Ronald Wastewater District" will be replaced with "City of Shoreline". However, such an amendment and intent to provide sewer service to the Point Wells area is a violation of the Snohomish County BRB ruling, currently under appeal, which does not allow the City of Shoreline to assume such service within Snohomish County. In addition, the proposed amendments are inconsistent with the laws relating to specialty district's provision of service (Title 57), the Growth Management Act (RCW 36.70A.035, .070, .100, 110), the Snohomish County's Comprehensive Plan and Countywide Planning Policies, and Olympic View's Comprehensive Sewer Plan, as amended.

For these reasons, Olympic view requests that the City Council reject the amendments proposed Ordinance No. 802. Specifically, Olympic View requests that the Council reject Section 1.4 of the Ordinance, with adopts Exhibit 5 (pages 7c-184-7c-211) to the extent any proposed amendments within Ex. 5 purport to authorize the City of Shoreline to service the Point Wells Area in Snohomish County.

8. (o) Oppose

Thank you,
City of Shoreline

This is an automated message generated by the Vision Content Management System™. Please do not reply directly to this email.