

From: [Natalie Moore](#)
To: [Shari Winstead](#); [Chris Roberts](#); [Will Hall](#); [Doris McConnell](#); [Keith McGlashan](#); [Jesse Salomon](#); [Keith Scully](#)
Subject: Ordinance No. 762; Transitional Encampments
Date: Thursday, February 23, 2017 8:48:20 PM

City Council Members,

I understand that Ordinance No. 762 is scheduled for action on the 27th. I have read the ordinance and have concerns regarding whether the ordinance can/will be used to establish backyard encampments on single family residential lots. I am strongly opposed to allowing such encampments for many reasons, but after reading the report of the January 30 council meeting, it is my understanding that the City also does not intend to allow such backyard encampments. My understanding is based on the following statement in the report of the meeting: "Much of the public comment at this time expressed concern that the proposed changes to the code, which included changing the permit type from a TUP to a Transitional Encampment Permit, would allow for encampments in back yards of single-family properties. . . *While these were not the intent of the proposed changes*, the Planning Commission continued the public hearing and asked staff to respond to a number of concerns."

If it is, in fact, the City's intent *not* to allow owners of single family residences to host backyard homeless encampments the City should explicitly so state in the ordinance. The definition of "managing agency" should also explicitly exclude such individuals.

As a new homeowner in Shoreline, I urge the City to consider the property values of Shoreline homeowners, as well as the myriad health, safety, and liability concerns that could arise as a result of allowing private individuals to host homeless encampments in their backyards.