

**From:** [Debbie Tarry](#)  
**To:** [Jesse Salomon](#); [Carolyn Wurdeman](#)  
**Cc:** [Eric Friedli](#); [Steve Szafran](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Amendment 3  
**Date:** Monday, December 05, 2016 9:30:18 AM

---

Jesse -

Here is a response to your question. We'll put this in the green folder for tonight.

*Park space ratio to new residents is just one possible measure for determining adequacy of parks and open spaces. The amount of park land is a limited measure that doesn't capture the full range of parks and recreation services offered by the City of Shoreline. In addition to park space we may consider types of park facilities such as off leash areas, playgrounds, community gardens, walking paths, etc. In addition recreation services such as programs for teens, seniors, and people with special needs will be important to account for. It is possible a more robust measure, or combination of measures, will serve the city better when assessing the range and level of services provide by PRCS. We will be developing the measure and then determining which ones are most appropriate for a park impact fee.*

Debbie Tarry  
City Manager  
City of Shoreline  
17500 Midvale Ave N.  
Shoreline, WA 98133

-----Original Message-----

From: Jesse Salomon  
Sent: Saturday, December 03, 2016 4:31 PM  
To: Debbie Tarry; Carolyn Wurdeman  
Subject: Amendment 3

What purpose would splitting the amendment into two serve?

As staff has started work on the park impact fee program, there are other level of service measurements that will be considered in addition to the park space ratio to new residents.

What other LOS measurements would be considered?

Sent from my iPad