October 24, 2016

Randy Witt, Director of Public Works
Lance Newkirk, Utility and Operations Manager





Current Facility at Hamlin Yard





Existing facilities are deteriorated and inefficient

In 2002 the City and the Ronald Wastewater
 District (RWD) agreed to an assumption of RWD

by the City in 2017





In 2013 the City acquired the old County Road maintenance property

...and renamed it the North Maintenance Facility









In October 2015 work started on the Design Criteria, Space Program and Conceptual Designs.





Council presentation in February 2016

- Facility master plan
- Public input process
- Preliminary design and site layout alternatives
- Areas of design (and cost) risk



For Alternatives B and B.1

- The preliminary estimated cost ranged from \$16.2 million to \$17.7 million
- Areas of high uncertainty and cost risk are wetland delineation, soil condition, storm water management and contaminated soils.
- TCF retained to further design and to explore high risk areas
- Funding for construction needs further exploration









Further Design Effort

- Estimated costs ranged from \$21.8M for Alternative B to \$23.4M for Alternative B.1
- Wetland buffers in February design are appropriate
- High ground water throughout the site
- Building costs relatively constant



Further Design Effort

- Test pits found high ground water, a peat layer and material reminiscent of "digging in a dump" impacting pavement and building foundation
- Two test pits had hydrocarbon odor
- One boring in SR104 provided information on walls



Financial Information

- In 2013 Council issued \$3.6M in GO bonds
- Approximately \$300,000 remains available for this project
- There remains \$3.3 M in outstanding debt
- No existing revenue stream for the project to move into construction phase. Before the City could move to that phase, a new revenue stream would need to be identified.



Challenges

- Site constraints
- Estimated project costs
- Ability to finance the work

The project remains important to the City as a whole and the Public Works Department.



Alternatives

- Move Forward with Redevelopment
- Pause Redevelopment
- Partially Redevelop



Staff recommendation

- Pause the development of NMF at the current location
- Use the programing information developed in Phase 1 of the project to identify alternative properties in the City that can meet the Public Works maintenance facility needs:
 - Identify a location that meets the Public Works maintenance facility functions at a lower cost, or
 - Confirm the NMF site is the best location and value.



Currently there is no existing revenue stream for the project to move to the construction phase (regardless of its location) and as such, before the City could move to that phase, a new revenue stream would need to be identified.





