

**From:** [webmaster@shorelinewa.gov](mailto:webmaster@shorelinewa.gov)  
**To:** [agenda comments](#)  
**Subject:** City of Shoreline Agenda Comments  
**Date:** Monday, July 25, 2016 9:45:47 AM

---

A new entry to a form/survey has been submitted.

**Form Name:** Comment on Agenda Items  
**Date & Time:** 07/25/2016 9:45 AM  
**Response #:** 136  
**Submitter ID:** 8141  
**IP address:** 173.160.135.93  
**Time to complete:** 16 min. , 48 sec.

---

### Survey Details: Answers Only

---

#### Page 1

---

1. Kevin Osborn
2. Shoreline
3. (O) Ballinger
4. kevintosborn@yahoo.com
5. 07/25/2016
6. 8a-1
7. I'd first like to thank the Shoreline City Council and City Manager Terry for allowing me to be a part of the Financial Sustainability Citizen Advisory Committee. Being a part of that group was a great learning experience, and I was honored to represent the citizens of Shoreline. While on the committee, I spent a great deal of time outside of our meetings talking to residents about what they expect from our city, and what they want to see happening within Shoreline in the future. Everyone I talked to raved about: our great parks, beautiful Aurora corridor, small town feel, great small businesses that they can regularly visit, how well streets and properties/parks are maintained, festivals/events, and just an all around feeling of a great quality of life. After hearing these things, I presented them with the different options for the levy lid lift and asked them which option did they like best. Everyone chose some form of renewal--most chose the 1.48, two persons chose 1.0, and five chose the 1.6. When I asked which option would best allow for continual services yet not overly burden residents, people didn't vary from their first vote. When I then talked about how we are still a very young city, and being a young city it takes those extra dollars (surplus) to make things happen like the Aurora Ave upgrade, additional parks, additional community gardens, sidewalks!! the conversation changed a bit. Most didn't think much about how young we are and how we still need to enhance (our already awesome) city. We started talking about projects/services around the city that people enjoy--and those projects came out of surplus. After a delightful conversation of what has happened with surplus, and what could happen if we happen to have a bit of surplus with the 1.48 or 1.6, people's votes changed. Everyone said that we still need to be fiscally responsible, but need to think about our growth. We then had no one want the 1.0, one person still want the 1.6, and everyone else want the 1.48.

People love Shoreline. No one wants to lose services or reduce upkeep of our lands. If the City Council is to recommendation based on the voice of the people, it should be 1.48 (1.39 based on current info we've received from City Manager Terry).

**8.** (O) Support

Thank you,

**City of Shoreline**

---

**This is an automated message generated by the Vision Content Management System™. Please do not reply directly to this email.**