

From: [Plancom](#)
To: [Rachael Markle](#); [Steve Szafran](#); [Easton Craft](#); [David Maul](#); [William Montero](#); [Paul Cohen](#); [Lisa Basher](#); [Jack Malek](#); [Laura Mork](#); [Miranda Redinger](#); [Julie Ainsworth-Taylor](#); [Susan Chang](#); [Donna M. Moss](#)
Subject: FW: Paramount Park
Date: Monday, April 04, 2016 11:59:14 AM

From: Cathy Aldrich[SMTP:CMACATHYA@YAHOO.COM]
Sent: Monday, April 04, 2016 11:59:11 AM
To: City Council
Cc: Preserve Shoreline; Plancom
Subject: Re: Paramount Park
Auto forwarded by a Rule

It seems quite odd to me to state that there would be no unavoidable impacts ... of course one can avoid further impacting a delicate wetland area by doing nothing OR by purchasing the parcels in the impacted areas when they become available and turning them into more wetland and park area.

Also, to claim that the MUR 35 is single family, when the City's own site says this can include townhomes, is at odds with how many would interpret single family dwellings. Adding that many residents to the area would definitely impact a lot more than what now exists.

I sent a more recent letter and I do know that change does happen, I also know that phasing doesn't mean we need 85' heights on 8th ... just because the developers seem to have made the koolaid, doesn't mean we all partake thereof.

I respectfully disagree with some of the talking points in the letter. I live in the area impacted and I know those doing a lot of the planning do not, gives one a totally different perspective on the issue.

Cathy Aldrich
concerned citizen

On Apr 4, 2016, at 10:26 AM, City Council <Council@shorelinewa.gov> wrote:

Dear Ms. Aldrich:

Attached is a response from Rachael Markle, Director of Planning & Development Services, to your recent email. If you would prefer a hard copy, please let me know I will be happy to send you a copy via U.S. Post.

Heidi Costello
City Manager's Office
City of Shoreline
(206) 801-2214
hcostello@shorelinewa.gov

***NOTICE OF DISCLOSURE**

The City of Shoreline will enter all comments received into the public record and may make these comments, and any attachments or other supporting materials, available unchanged, including any business or personal information (name, email address, phone, etc.) that you provide available for public review. This information may be released on the City's website. Comments received are part of the public record and subject to disclosure under the Public Records Act, RCW 42.56. Do not include any information in your comment or supporting materials that you do not wish to be made public, including name and contact information.

From: Cathy Aldrich [<mailto:cmacathya@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 3:43 PM

To: City Council; Preserve Shoreline

Subject: Paramount Park

Dear Council,

This is regarding the September 17 meeting of the Planning Commission, I have a prior commitment so cannot make my voice heard at the meeting.

I live just above the Paramount Park wetland in the area being considered for rezoning. I also read through the complete EIS that the City commissioned, which seems to imply that any development will not have an impact upon the wetland area. This premise should be called into question since the maps of the proposed rezone area actually show housing being built in areas that the EIS deems to be buffer zones.

If the housing that is currently on site is deemed to be encroaching upon the margins of the wetland area, how in the world would rezoning for mixed use, and allowing building on these same lots *not* encroach? Not only that, the likelihood of a large influx of new residents caring as much for the park area as the current residents do would also be questionable. Those of us who live in this neighborhood know we have a gem and we take good care of this important resource.

The residents, quite the contrary to the EIS, know this to be an important, if small, ecologically viable drainage system that attracts a large variety of birds and wildlife. In my own yard I have identified close to 50 different species of birds, attracted by the Paramount Park wetland area.

The needs of developers should not take precedent over saving the few, small pockets of wetlands that remain in our area. If anything, the City should look to expand those wetlands for the sake of any future residents, not build the areas up just because of what *might* happen years in the future, even with the inevitable arrival of light rail.

Thank you for your time.

Cathy Aldrich
Shoreline WA

<Aldrich, Cathy.pdf>