

From: [Debbie Tarry](#)
To: [Will Hall](#)
Cc: [John Norris](#); [Carolyn Wurdeman](#)
Subject: FW: Docket questions
Date: Friday, June 12, 2015 1:19:09 PM

Will –

Please see the staff response to your docket questions below.

Debbie Tarry

City Manager

City of Shoreline

17500 Midvale Ave N.

Shoreline, WA 98133

From: Steve Szafran
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2015 9:32 AM
To: Rachael Markle; Debbie Tarry
Subject: RE: Docket questions

My comments are in red after Councilmember Hall's questions.

-----Original Message-----

From: Debbie Tarry
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2015 9:07 AM
To: Rachael Markle; Steve Szafran; Paul Cohen
Cc: John Norris; Carolyn Wurdeman
Subject: FW: Docket questions

Hi -

Please see Will's comments regarding docket. Can you please prepare responses by Friday and send back to me? We will then provide to the Council for Monday's discussion.

Debbie Tarry

City Manager

City of Shoreline

17500 Midvale Ave N.

Shoreline, WA 98133

-----Original Message-----

From: Will Hall

Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2015 8:25 AM

To: Debbie Tarry; John Norris

Cc: Carolyn Wurdeman

Subject: Docket questions

Amendment 2. In general, I favor very brief introductory and narrative text I comprehensive plans, and I prefer more specific implementation measures to be outside the plan itself. Has staff discussed with WCIA the possibility of adopting and implementing the public participation program (which I support and I think is well done) but not making it part of the plan itself? GMA does not require it to be part of the plan. Procedures seem more naturally to belong either in regulations or as a standalone public participation plan. I would prefer to adopt this plan somewhere, but not as text in the body of the comprehensive plan itself.

Staff asked similar questions of WCIA and the Department of Commerce. It was their recommendation that the City have a public participation plan. Department of Commerce is indifferent where the plan is located but recommended that the Comprehensive Plan at least acknowledges that the City has a Public Participation Plan and points to its location. Staff has recommended that the Public Participation Plan live within the Introduction of the Comprehensive Plan for ease of use for staff and the public.

Amendment 2. I see the proposed new text is underlined, and I do not see any markup to the framework goals or the goals and policies. They are staying the same, right?

Yes, the goals and policies are staying the same.

Amendment 3. Would it be clearer to say "encourages Transit Oriented Development (TOD)" instead of the somewhat redundant "encourages the development of Transit Oriented Development (TOD)" in LU 11, 12, and 13? And I this region I think the norm is to call it "I-5" and not "the I-5".

Yes, “encourages Transit Oriented Development (TOD) is much clearer. Staff will recommend this change. Also, staff will change “the I-5” to “I-5”.

Amendment 3. In the other policies in that section, we do not say what zones are conforming to the land use designations. I like doing this, it if we do it, we should be consistent and list the conforming zones for all of our land use designations. And I am not sure if we should say a zone "is considered conforming to" or if we should say a zone "conforms to".

The update of the Comprehensive Plan in 2012 removed the zones that were conforming to the particular Comprehensive Plan Land Use Designations. Staff intentionally listed the zones that were conforming to the Station Area Land Use Designations so there would be no confusion as to what zone would be appropriate under the particular land use designation. This policy prevents a property owner in The SA3 Designation from applying for a rezone to MUR-70’.

Amendment 3. I think the SA-3 designation is intended to provide a transition from SA-1 or SA-2 to lower density designations. And I think those sentences could be reworked to avoid redundancy: "The ... designation is intended to provide a transition from ... and transitions to ...".

Yes, less redundancy is better. Staff will make this change.

Will Hall, Councilmember

City of Shoreline

17500 Midvale Ave N

Shoreline, WA 98133

206-373-1630