

From: [Debbie Tarry](#)
To: [Heidi Costello](#)
Subject: FW: Re interlocal with DSHS
Date: Monday, April 20, 2015 3:35:20 PM

Green folder

Debbie Tarry
City Manager
City of Shoreline
17500 Midvale Ave N.
Shoreline, WA 98133

From: Debbie Tarry
Sent: Monday, April 20, 2015 9:47 AM
To: Jesse Salomon; Carolyn Wurdeman
Cc: John Norris; Patti Rader; Shawn Ledford - Contact; 'Konoske, Mark G.'; Bonita Roznos
Subject: RE: Re interlocal with DSHS

Jesse -

Yes - it only takes one Councilmember to pull something from the consent agenda. When it is time to approve the agenda you should move that this item (7b) and Ordinance No. 709 (7d) be removed from the consent agenda and become **items 8c and 8d.**

This is just FYI - to hopefully give you a little more background for my recommendation. Shawn is at training this week (Oregon), so he will not be there to speak to the agreement, although I can speak to it. I recognize that you want to make sure that the City is remaining fiscally responsible and I believe that we are. With the current police staffing we cannot pick up the additional dedication of time to Fircrest that DSHS is requesting. This would reduce our ability to patrol other areas of the City and have adequate staffing to respond to other public safety needs throughout the City. As you may recall, when the staff recommendation was to use the SCORE jail facility in south king county, staff said it was likely that we would need to request another police officer to help with transport, but we wanted to see if our actual experience was better/worse than we expected. The cost of using the SCORE facility was significantly less than using King County - even if an additional officer for transport needed to be added. Currently we pull one officer off of patrol to make the transports - which has created a less than ideal level of patrol in the City, especially during the late afternoon and early evenings, which is one of the higher call periods for the police department. Given the experience over the last number of months, we recognized that we would need to come to Council to request an additional officer to cover transport, at the same time the contract potential came up with DSHS as they have requested dedicated patrol/officer. This is making it possible for us to share one officer between Fircrest and jail transport - in essence allowing the City's general fund to have to only pay for 50% of the cost that we anticipated we might have to cover for the transport officer.

As far as budget, the 2015 budget had estimated the police contract cost assuming a certain level for guild settlement. The guild settlement is better than anticipated, so our estimate was high and the additional officer is covered under the existing 2015 budget. We use our 2015 base for projections in the 10 year forecast - so there is some capacity in the 10 year model, but wanted to make sure Council understood that there is additional cost (\$80 to \$100k) per year over the 10 years for the new officer. I believe that we would have been looking at an additional \$200k a year without the DSHS contract, and feel comfortable that we will be able to sustain this new contract officer slot for the long-term.

Debbie Tarry
City Manager
City of Shoreline
17500 Midvale Ave N.
Shoreline, WA 98133

-----Original Message-----

From: Jesse Salomon
Sent: Sunday, April 19, 2015 7:55 PM
To: Debbie Tarry; Carolyn Wurdeman
Subject: Re interlocal with DSHS

Can this be removed from consent? At this point, without an added revenue source, I don't plan to support the new .5 FTE. I would rather propose it come from a reorganizing within current FTE's.

Jesse Salomon, Councilmember
City of Shoreline
Jsalomon@Shorelinewa.gov
(206) 396-5807

Sent from my iPad