

From: webmaster@shorelinewa.gov
To: [agenda comments](#)
Subject: City of Shoreline Agenda Comments
Date: Monday, March 23, 2015 9:17:39 AM

A new entry to a form/survey has been submitted.

Form Name: Comment on Agenda Items
Date & Time: 03/23/2015 9:17 AM
Response #: 96
Submitter ID: 2709
IP address: 24.18.254.128
Time to complete: 6 min. , 25 sec.

Survey Details: Answers Only

Page 1

1. Eric Okazaki
2. Shoreline
3. (o) Ridgecrest
4. cusecs67118@mypacks.net
5. 03/23/2015
6. 145th Station Area
7. I have the classic NIMBY objections, trivial to some, but if you have skin in the game it hurts. I live within walking distance of the proposed rail station, in a modest house bought in the 90's. I spent \$\$\$ on remodeling, and on basic stuff like the roof, plumbing and waste water pipe. It 's near the freeway, but it's woodsy and a peaceful place to live.
It comes as no surprise that the council is pushing a pro-development up-zone, the aurora avenue corridor changes reveals a risk taking zeal. I am not sure you have all the ducks in a row on the up-zone. I think the up zone should be delayed until the transportation study is complete, and additional infrastructure costs be accounted are for.
But if all else fails and it is time to moving out for the "greater good" I and my neighbors deserve justice in compensation. Some form of neighborhood wide mediation and presentation requirement especially for a large scale developer should be put in place. Adequate funding for purchasing a new equivalent home for the displaced is the goal. Logical build out should be a concern to prevent isolation of single family homes that remain.
8. (o) Oppose

Thank you,

City of Shoreline

This is an automated message generated by the Vision Content Management System™. Please do not reply directly to this email.