Budget Tracking Document

Date of Request 9/17	1. The charts on page 8b-5 of the	Response or Scheduled Follow Up The following charts were included in the 9/22 staff report on the preliminary 2015 budget
	9/22 council packet show that permit revenue has not rebounded as much as permit activity. Is this due to a shift in permit types? Is it expected to be temporary or ongoing? (HALL)	PCD Revenue and Permit Activity 2007-2013 \$2,000,000 \$1,500,000 \$1,000,000 \$500,000 \$- 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 Permit 500

Date of			
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up	
		Revenue and Permit Count	
		Jan - June Totals (2007-2014)	
		\$1,000,000 2000	
		\$800,000	
		\$600,000 - 1000 - Revenue	
		\$400,000 Permit Count	
		\$-	
		2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014	
		As the chart above displays, the January through June permit count is higher than the same period of 2007, but the amount of revenue collected is lower. During 2007, the Echo Lake site and YMCA accounted for \$48.1 million in project value and contributed approximately \$220,000 or nearly 15% of the \$1.5 million collected that year. In comparison, for 2014 we have experienced three major projects with an estimated value of \$10.8 million out of a total year to date value of \$34.5 million. In 2013, there were 2,675 permits, very close to the 2,718 experienced in 2007.	
9/22	 How are the town center plans coming along? What kind of/how much development have we see as a results of that zoning designation? (SALOMON) 	The two tables below show the projects that have occurred as a result of the zoning changes for the Town Center and the creation of the North City Business District.	

Date of	Itoms	Pasnansa or Schadulad Fallow Un		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up Town Center Subarea Projects		
		Plan adoption: July 2011		
		Plan adoption: July 2011		
		Project Name	Address	Construction Valuation
		Chuck Olson KIA	17001 Aurora Ave N	\$2,000,000
		Center Pointe Apartments (under review)	17962 Midvale Ave N	\$31,093,718
		North City Business District Projects		
		Plan Adoption: July 2001		
		Project Name	Address	Construction Valuation
		Arabella I Apartments Remodel	17763 15th Ave NE	\$670,000
		North City Apartments aka Arabella)	17763 12th Ave NE	\$9,200,000
		Frank Lumber remodel	17727 15th Ave NE	\$24,180
		Gary East (retail)	17551 15th Ave NE	\$342,447
		Hotwire Coffeehouse	17547 15th Ave NE	\$15,000
		North City Family Apartments (A)	17536 12th Ave NE	\$12,350,049
		North City Family Apartments (B)	17542 12th Ave NE	\$14,688,600
		North City Plaza (office)	17547 15th Ave NE	\$20,000
		North City Water District	18013 15th Ave NE	\$4,000,000
		Par Mark LLC (2-story)	17712 15th Ave NE	\$310,000
		Safeway (fueling)	17230 15th Ave NE	\$630,000
		Safeway Remodel	17230 15th Ave NE	\$500,000
		Safeway (add'l remodels)	17230 15th Ave NE	\$202,500
		Sunni's Pizza & Burgers	17751 15th Ave NE	\$45,000
9/22	3. Parks Maint. Worker Request:	The 2014 budget added a 1.0 Parks Ma	aintenance Worker II v	while also eliminating
	I don't understand how extra	4,443 hour of extra help labor, resultir	ng in an overall loss of	2,363 work hours. In
	help was "lost" rather than	2012 the Parks Department assumed t	_	
	converted to an fte with	maintenance with no additional staff s	•	•
	benefits. I don't recall them	underfunded since incorporation. The	• • • •	

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
	being dedicated to a different task so why can't' they be used for tree removal tasks? Also how many trees are we removing and why? Are these hazardous trees? How are new ROW tree regs leading to the increase (?) of our involvement in tree removal? (SALOMON)	has been \$10,000 for many years. As a result, when trees are identified as hazardous the park maintenance staff of licensed Arborists are doing the majority of right of way tree removal. Every tree removal, depending upon size, takes at least one day with some requiring multiple days for safe removal. As a rule, there are three staff required for each removal, with a fourth needed for traffic control on some streets. (One very complicated tree removal recently took four employees five days to complete.) With a staff of seven fulltime maintenance employees right of way tree work takes up a large percentage of time taking away from doing other work in the parks. As a result of decreased labor hours this year and increased tree responsibilities, routine parks maintenance has been compromised. Also, the proposed changes to the Personnel Policy related to the implementation of the Affordable Care Act specifies that extra help or seasonal help will be limited to performing "seasonal" work and could therefore, not participate in routine maintenance work such as litter / graffiti removal. And of course seasonal employees cannot provide assistance for sophisticated work such as tree removal. The new FTE with proper training would be able to assist with tree removal and perform many other ongoing routine work tasks that cannot be performed by extra help or seasonal staff. All trees removed in the right of way by park maintenance staff are determined to be hazardous by a licensed city Arborist using specific evaluation criteria. We do no pro-active tree maintenance in the right of way, only hazardous tree removals. No stumps are ground, sidewalks repaired if heaved by tree roots, or trees replanted in the right of way because of a lack of funding and staff. The request for stump grinding and tree re-planting in the 2015 budget request is to begin to replant some of the trees we have removed in the past 2 ½ years. This work would be done by a contractor and I assume 10 – 15 trees that have been removed would have stumps r

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
		With over 15,000 trees in the right of way in our community we anticipate an ever increasing demand for hazardous tree evaluations and potential removals. With no dedicated right of way tree staff and a very limited budget (\$10,000 annually) we will continue to offer a very low level of service and either leave hazardous trees standing in the right of way, or continue to provide a diminishing level of park maintenance service to deal with the worst of the worst hazardous trees in our right of way.
		There is a current back log of approximately a dozen citizen requests for hazardous tree evaluation that need to be assessed by the Arborists on our park maintenance staff.
9/22	4. Didn't we buy a grinder for sidewalks last year? Is this different than a stump grinder? (SALOMON)	The 2014 budget did include funding to purchase a new sidewalk grinder which is currently on order. The sidewalk grinder will be used by PW Maintenance staff instead of renting equipment each year for a significant cost savings. Parks request for \$10,000 in 2015 would be to purchase stump grinding services to remove the backlog of stumps that remain after hazardous trees have been removed. Although both pieces of equipment are grinders, the equipment is very different for grinding concrete and wood.
9/22	 Surface Water Requests – Is this request to spend money from the General Fund or Surface Water Utility Fund? (SALOMON) 	All requests are proposed to be funded from the Surface Water Utility Fund.
9/22	6. With the inclusion of new construction AV estimated at \$25.9 million, the resulting estimated 2015 property tax levy would increase to	Since the AV is projected to grow by approximately 12.9% and the property tax levy lid lift limits the growth in the total property tax levy to the rate of inflation (1.99%), the existing equation of AV*levy rate = levy, forces the levy rate to drop by the net difference in the growth of AV and the allowed inflationary growth in the levy. We expect to collect 99.5% of the estimated levy of \$10,623,778 or

Date of			
Request	Items		Response or Scheduled Follow Up
	Ç	\$10,623,778 while the	\$10,571,659 which is an increase over 2014 of \$298,454 or 2.9%.
	ļ r	projected levy rate would	
	(decline from the current \$1.60	
	t	to an estimated \$1.45843 per	
	5	\$1,000 of assessed valuation.	
	(Can you explain why the levy	
	r	rate would decline? Is that	
	k	pecause there is a total dollar	
	\	value cap that's met for Prop 1	
	k	pefore it hits \$1.60? How	
	r	many dollars will the total levy	
	i	tself provide for this year's	
	k	oudget? (SALOMON)	
9/22	7. 9	Shoreline Pool study \$115,000	Yes we recently completed the condition assessment/needs analysis that cost
	t	to figure out what to do with	approximately \$50,000. The projects proposed in the CIP are a result of the
		the pool seems high. Haven't	Shoreline Pool Repair/Replacement Needs Analysis that was completed earlier this
		we recently done a condition	year. The Pool Master Plan is proposed for funding in 2018/2019. This is a study to
		study for \$50,000?	analyze the best location, the needs of an "aquatic" facility, and the partnership
	· '	SALOMON)	opportunities with the School District and other potential partners.
9/22		Shoreline Pool Long-Term	As noted above, the CIP now contains some of the repair and maintenance projects
		Maintenance: The total	recommended in the Needs Analysis report. Staff does not have a current cost
	-	project cost increased from	estimate to mothball the Shoreline Pool. However in addition to the initial cost of
		\$413,546 to \$846,722. Is it	closing the facility, an empty pool, even if drained, is a major liability. The nearest
		ime to pull the plug on this	indoor private pool would be a members only pool such as a health club or YMCA.
	-	pool? What would it cost to	I am not aware of any private pools open to the public in the area. Many Shoreline
		nothball it? Where is the	residents are dependent upon the Shoreline Pool for lessons and fitness activities.
		nearest private pool? I know	The Shoreline School District is a major user of the Pool and would need to find
		the 24 Hour Fitness at	another facility to accommodate its activities.
		Northgate has one.	

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
	(SALOMON)	
9/22	9. When we approved the Veteran's memorial site placement on City property I thought that was essentially the extent of the City's contribution. Now is there a request for \$75,000 in tax fund? (SALOMON)	No. The \$75,000 is labels as Private Donations in the proposed CIP. It represents funds expected to be raised by the Shoreline Veteran's Association.
9/22	10. An approximately 40% increase in the cost of the police station seems to be a very high increase indeed. Was this not anticipated as a possible contingency? Is the generator a necessity? Do they currently have one at their site? (SALOMON)	The total project cost included in the proposed CIP is \$5.58 million. The cost has only increased by \$100,000 (1.8%) since staff updated Council in September of 2013 of the revised estimated total cost of \$5.48 million. In June of 2014, staff also advised Council that the estimated cost had increased to \$5.58 million to include other needed maintenance improvements for City Hall. The generator is required as police facilities are considered to be Occupancy Category IV which are buildings or other structures designated as essential facilities. The Police Station currently has a stationary generator available for use during power outages.
9/22	11. What is the City's policy on home detention? Do we have stats? (ROBERTS)	Staff is researching and will provide information during the Criminal Justice presentation at the October 20 Council meeting.

	12. Why are revenues down from District Court? Are fines and fees being assessed less often? (SALOMON)	Annual Infractions and Casefilings 10,000 9,000 8,000 7,000 6,000 3,000 2,000 1,000 0 District Court revenues may be affected for a number of reasons. Overall, the total numbers of casefilings and infractions (traffic and non-traffic) have been trending downward, beginning in 2007 and 2006, respectively. Infractions are a significant revenue generating mechanism. Additionally, fines and fees associated with a particular offense may be subject to a judge's ruling and may be dependent on case details.
9/17	13. Have a broad range of employees participated in and embraced the selection of SharePoint? I am very familiar with expensive, training- intensive, failed implementations due to employee resistance, commonly attributed to	SharePoint was selected as a replacement solution for the City's current portal because it mimics much of the current functionality of the City's Portal, is less expensive than other similar options, and conforms to City technology standards. The existing Portal has reached its end of life and is no longer being upgraded by the vendor, limiting our internet browser options, which hampers the City's ability to implement other web based solutions that support other citywide functions. The current Portal is widely used by City staff for collaboration and houses a substantial amount of shared operational information. The Portal has been very successful and staff currently relies upon it on a daily basis. SharePoint also offers

additional complexity without a perceived productivity benefit. I would like to see a more complete business case for the proposed migration to Sharepoint, including what other options were evaluated. (HALL)	the additional functionality of a records center that will allow the city to manage electronic documents other than email in accordance with state guidelines.
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	