webmaster@shorelinewa.gov From:

To: agenda comments

City of Shoreline Agenda Comments Subject: Date: Monday, September 15, 2014 8:12:24 AM

A new entry to a form/survey you have subscribed to has been submitted.

Form Name: Comment on Agenda Items

Date & Time: 09/15/2014 8:12 AM

Response #: 26 Submitter ID: 787

IP address: 65.115.165.221Time to complete: 5 min., 38 sec.

Page 1	
Page 1	
1.	
	Amy Walgamott
2.	
	Shoreline
•	SHOLEHILE .
2	
3.	
(	(o) Ridgecrest
4.	
(	amy.walgamott@sea.procure.com
5.	
(	09/15/2014
6.	
	Public Comment Re: Zoning Scenarios
7.	
	Dear City Council
l	Deal City Council,
	Dear City Council,

While I greatly appreciate being involved in the 145th St. station subarea planning, I also realize money talks. From the presentations on the subarea made at the City Council Meeting on Monday, Aug. 20, it seems money talks quite a bit more loudly than the voices of the neighbors. I feel strongly that the zoning proposal for the station subarea is the wrong direction to go, for several reasons:

- · the market analysis presented at the City Council Meeting on Aug. 18 showed high development to be difficult to attain (even infill of 7 stories with small retail).
- $\cdot$  the neighborhood does not favor the 7-story, 85' high development being looked at, and the photos shown in the OTAK presentation showed exactly the kind of development that the neighborhood submitted to the city as "not wanted."
- · developers have to acquire 6-8 single-family home lots to develop, decreasing the possibility of high-density around the station.
- · although neighbors would favor small retail catered to the community, it sounds like this is not highly feasible, according to the market report.
- · zoning the blocks around the station at 85' essentially forces single-family homeowners in this area out. Naturally you can't force anyone to sell, but if buildings start going up at 85' across the street or adjacent to single-family homes, can they really stay? Would you? Is the city going to compensate homeowners?

Instead, I would like to make the case for smaller-scale development. This is what I feel would be feasible and create density around the station:

- · focus on town- and row-home development up to 3 stories. You could increase density in a shorter time frame by allowing many narrow 3-story row homes, or townhouses, interspersed with small retail spaces (cheaper than retail in giant construction). Add tree-lined streets and you have a charming neighborhood that will draw residents and visitors.
- · town- and row-homes can go up on smaller parcels, making it easier for developers.
- $\cdot$  3-story buildings will not tower over existing single-family homes, making it easier for residents to stay if they want to.
- $\cdot$  this is more along the lines of what the neighborhood envisions.
- · people will not feel they are forced to leave.
- $\cdot$  this scenario will make the "place" referred to by the market analyst who cited place-making as essential to drawing development. Then, in 30-40 year, you can get your higher development.

Sincerely,

	Amy Walgamott
8.	
	(o) Oppose

## Thank you,

## City of Shoreline

This is an automated message generated by the Vision Content Management System™. Please do not reply directly to this email.