

**From:** [Debbie Tarry](#)  
**To:** [Heidi Costello](#); [Carolyn Wurdeman](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Development Code question  
**Date:** Saturday, August 09, 2014 9:44:27 AM

---

For Green Folder

Debbie Tarry  
City Manager  
City of Shoreline  
Phone: 206-801-2211  
E-Mail: [dtarry@shorelinewa.gov](mailto:dtarry@shorelinewa.gov)

---

**From:** Chris Roberts  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 07, 2014 12:24 PM  
**To:** Debbie Tarry  
**Cc:** Carolyn Wurdeman; John Norris  
**Subject:** Re: Development Code question

Whatever works for the Council is fine with me. At a minimum, I would appreciate it if the proposed amendment be forwarded to the Council for their consideration.

Thanks,

Chris

Chris Roberts, Councilmember  
City of Shoreline  
[croberts@shorelinewa.gov](mailto:croberts@shorelinewa.gov)  
(206) 391-2733

On Aug 7, 2014, at 3:04 PM, "Debbie Tarry" <[dtarry@shorelinewa.gov](mailto:dtarry@shorelinewa.gov)> wrote:

Hi Chris –

You have a good point that the language you referenced is redundant. Although this is the case, it is also somewhat minor in my opinion. Given that this is on the consent agenda for Monday would you be comfortable with us noting this amendment and bring it forward w/ the next batch of Development Code amendments in 2015 to minimize impact on their meeting agenda? Otherwise a councilmember can take it off of the consent agenda and move to make the change under action items – which is also fine just takes a little more time. I know you are scheduled to be absent so wanted to check-in with you on this. I will share with the Mayor and Deputy Mayor tomorrow also to get their perspective.

Debbie Tarry  
City Manager  
City of Shoreline  
17500 Midvale Ave N.  
Shoreline, WA 98133

---

**From:** Julie Ainsworth-Taylor  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 07, 2014 11:56 AM  
**To:** Steve Szafran  
**Cc:** Rachael Markle; John Norris; Debbie Tarry  
**Subject:** RE: Development Code question

From my understanding of Roberts & the Consent Agenda process, if there is to be any discussion or amendment of an item, it should be "removed" from the Consent Agenda and placed as a regular agenda item. See Council Rule 4.3.

I realize this is a insignificant amendment intended to eliminate redundancy but it also not a required Amendment. As has been noted, Title 20 does define Director so it is technically not needed in this provision, but there is also no harm in having it there.

Thus, unless it is common practice for the City Council to move a minor, housekeeping amendment of an item on the consent agenda and then unanimously adopt, I would just leave the language in place. If not, it would need to go to the regular agenda for final adoption after amendment – which can be done as well.



<Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) 1.jpg>

*Julie Ainsworth-Taylor*  
Interim City Attorney  
206-801-2222 Work  
206-643-2427 Cell  
206-801-2781 Fax  
[jainsworth-taylor@shorelinewa.gov](mailto:jainsworth-taylor@shorelinewa.gov)

This email may contain confidential information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or attorney work product. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, please delete it and advise the sender of its inadvertent disclosure.

---

**From:** Steve Szafran  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 07, 2014 9:55 AM  
**To:** Julie Ainsworth-Taylor  
**Cc:** Rachael Markle; John Norris  
**Subject:** RE: Development Code question

Great catch. Amendment #11 should read:

Amendment #11

20.30.315 Site development permit.

B. General Requirements. A site development permit is required for the following activities or as determined by the Director. ~~of Planning and Community Development Services~~

I went through the rest of the Code and didn't find any other references to Planning and Development Services.

Is there an easy way to make this amendment to Ordinance 695?

-----Original Message-----

From: Rachael Markle  
Sent: Wednesday, August 06, 2014 3:39 PM  
To: Steve Szafran  
Cc: Julie Ainsworth-Taylor; John Norris  
Subject: FW: Development Code question

Steve,

Did we address this comment in the Ordinance already?

-----Original Message-----

From: Debbie Tarry  
Sent: Wednesday, August 06, 2014 3:28 PM  
To: Rachael Markle  
Cc: Julie Ainsworth-Taylor; John Norris  
Subject: RE: Development Code question

So this is on for consent on Monday - can we agree with him and make an administrative correction to the ordinance or does it have to come off of consent for Council to amend? John, Julie & Rachael can you get together and figure out - I'd like to try to keep this on consent if at all possible.

Debbie Tarry  
City Manager  
City of Shoreline  
17500 Midvale Ave N.  
Shoreline, WA 98133

-----Original Message-----

From: Rachael Markle  
Sent: Wednesday, August 06, 2014 3:14 PM  
To: Debbie Tarry  
Subject: RE: Development Code question

I think he is saying we don't need to say "of Planning and Community Development" because "Director" is defined in SMC 202.20 as the Director of Planning and Community Development. He is eliminating a redundancy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Debbie Tarry  
Sent: Wednesday, August 06, 2014 2:50 PM

To: Rachael Markle  
Subject: FW: Development Code question

Can you tell me what this means?

Debbie Tarry  
City Manager  
City of Shoreline  
17500 Midvale Ave N.  
Shoreline, WA 98133

-----Original Message-----

From: Chris Roberts  
Sent: Wednesday, August 06, 2014 1:35 PM  
To: Debbie Tarry  
Cc: Carolyn Wurdeman  
Subject: Development Code question

Debbie,

I believe "Director" is defined already in the definition section and us not needed to be further defined.

Amendment #11  
20.30.315 Site development permit.

B. General Requirements. A site development permit is required for the following activities or as determined by the Director of Planning & and Community DevelopmentServices:

Chris

Chris Roberts, Councilmember  
City of Shoreline  
[croberts@shorelinewa.gov](mailto:croberts@shorelinewa.gov)  
(206) 391-2733