From: webmaster@shorelinewa.gov

To: agenda comments

Subject: City of Shoreline Agenda Comments

Date: Wednesday, July 09, 2014 7:58:35 AM

A new entry to a form/survey you have subscribed to has been submitted.

Form Name: Comment on Agenda Items

Date & Time: 07/09/2014 7:58 AM

Response #: 21 Submitter ID: 562

IP address: 68.178.24.202 Time to complete: 6 min. , 6 sec.

Survey Details: Answers Only

Page 1

The Shoreline City Council values community input and looks forward to hearing from you on agenda items.

If your comments are submitted before 4:00 p.m. on the day of the City Council meeting, your comments will be distributed to the City Council and appropriate staff prior to the Council meeting that evening as well as posted on the City's website under <u>public comment</u> in the Document Library.

Comments regarding a quasi-judicial (closed record) item will not be distributed as the Council must only review the official record.

NOTICE OF DISCLOSURE

Please be aware that any comments submitted concerning Council agenda items will be shared on the Shoreline City Website. This site is a limited public forum and comments may be excluded if they are nontopical or inappropriate under Shoreline Social Media Policy CM 10-1. If not posted, comments are still subject to disclosure under the Public Records Act, Chapter 42.56, unless a claim of privilege is established by a private party.

Please submit your comments by filling out the form below.

 Name 	Required):
--------------------------	----------	----

Diana L Erickson

2. City of Residence (required):

Shoreline

3.	Neighborhood
	(o) Meridian Park
4.	Email
	devwlaw@yahoo.com
5.	Agenda Date (required):
	07/14/2014
6.	Agenda Item:
	Public Defender Case Weighting
7.	Comments:
	It will be incredibly short sighted and ultimately more expensive for the counsel to follow the proposal by staff to ignore the case weighting as directed by the Washington Supreme Court. Before the counsel follows the advice of staff, it would do well to look at the cost to Mount Vernon for its failure to appropriately represent indigent clients. As a tax payer, and an attorney, I believe this would be negligence on the part of the counsel intentionally choose to ignore the standards set forth by the Washington State Supreme Courtparticularly in view of recent decisions in Mount Vernon.
8.	Position:
	(o) Oppose

Thank you,

City of Shoreline

This is an automated message generated by the Vision Content Management System™. Please do not reply directly to this email.