From: <u>Dan Eernissee</u>
To: <u>Julie Underwood</u>

Cc: <u>Debbie Tarry</u>; <u>Carolyn Wurdeman</u>; <u>Shawn Ledford - Contact</u>

Subject: RE: Police station questions

Date: Monday, September 23, 2013 12:10:36 PM

Attachments: Copy of Space Plan 072213.pdf

Julie,

I've responded to Councilmember Roberts' questions individually below:

1) What elements of our police station need to meet the stricter building standards? The report suggested that only a percentage of the facility needs to meet those requirements.

That's a very good question. I included the space planning document in the Staff Report, but I didn't make the point that the red highlighted items were those that--according to the architect--should be considered "essential," and therefore located in the CAT IV section of the building. I've attached the space plan to this email for reference.

2) How far is the staff/consultant in preparing an architectural design for an addition at city hall? Is there more detail available beyond the staff report?

It is still very conceptual; Attachment D of the Staff Report is as much as he as developed to date.

3) What type of security do we need for parking for a police facility?

No specific requirement exists; some cities (i.e. Lake Forest Park) have very little security, while others (i.e. Bothell) have enclosed garages. In Chief Ledford's opinion, the minimum Shoreline should have is similar to what it currently has: a 6' metal fence with security cameras and a gate. This is what is being recommended in Alternative 3.

4) If the police station is located at the Grease Monkey site, how does the city plan for emergency vehicles to respond to an incident east of I5, especially during the morning or evening commute?

Another very good question. We will need to create a way for police to exit onto 175th heading eastbound. Our public works department assured me that we can find a satisfactory way to do this, although we haven't begun formally designing channelization improvements.

5) Why was the Grease Monkey site not acquired as the City assembled the city hall complex?

While the actually transaction predates my time at City Hall, I understand from staff, the property owner, and business operator that the City attempted to acquire the site at that time. Furthermore, it was understood then that eventually the Grease Monkey site would be purchased and included into the City Hall campus. The City ordered an appraisal of the property in late 2005 which returned a valuation of \$800,000. The property owner balked at that price and hired its own appraiser to debunk the City's appraisal. By that time, I believe that the City determined that since the property wasn't necessary at the moment, it would leave the acquisition for a future Council.

Please let me know if I can answer any further questions.

Sincerely,

Dan Eernissee 206.801.2218 O 206.391.8473 M

-----Original Message-----From: Chris Roberts

Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2013 10:31 AM

To: Julie Underwood

Cc: Debbie Tarry; Carolyn Wurdeman Subject: Police station questions

Julie,

In thinking about Monday's discussion, I have several questions.

- 1) What elements of our police station need to meet the stricter building standards? The report suggested that only a percentage of the facility needs to meet those requirements.
- 2) How far is the staff/consultant in preparing an architectural design for an addition at city hall? Is there more detail available beyond the staff report?
- 3) What type of security do we need for parking for a police facility?
- 4) If the police station is located at the Grease Monkey site, how does the city plan for emergency vehicles to respond to an incident east of I5, especially during the morning or evening commute?
- 5) Why was the Grease Monkey site not acquired as the City assembled the city hall complex?

Thanks,

Chris

Chris Roberts, Councilmember City of Shoreline croberts@shorelinewa.gov (206) 391-2733